

SOME REGULARITY RESULTS FOR PLASTICITY PROBLEMS.

Maria Specovius-Neugebauer

Institute of Mathematics, University of Kassel, Germany

Joint work with J. Frehse, University of Bonn

**Regularity theory for elliptic and parabolic systems
and problems in continuum mechanics**

Telc, May 2014

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, (preferably $n = 3$, Ω solid body)

f density of the body forces

p external loading

t (time-like) loading parameter

$x \mapsto x + u(x, t)$ displacement field

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, (preferably $n = 3$, Ω solid body)

f density of the body forces

p external loading

t (time-like) loading parameter

$x \mapsto x + u(x, t)$ displacement field

state of the deformed material: u, σ

small deformations, balance of forces

$$-\operatorname{div} \sigma = f$$

Boundary conditions

clamped part: $u|_{\Gamma} = 0, \Gamma \subset \partial\Omega,$

external loading: $\sigma \cdot n = p$ on $\partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma$

Yield condition involves hardening variables ξ

$$F(\sigma, \xi) \leq 0, \quad F : \text{convex function}$$

Yield condition involves hardening variables ξ

$$F(\sigma, \xi) \leq 0, \quad F : \text{convex function}$$

von Mises: $\sigma_D = \sigma - \frac{\text{tr} \sigma}{n} \mathbb{I}$ (Deviatoric part)

$$F(\sigma, \xi) = \begin{cases} |\sigma_D| - (\xi + \kappa) & \text{isotropic hardening} \\ |\sigma_D - \xi_D| - \kappa & \text{kinematic hardening} \end{cases}$$

Yield condition involves hardening variables ξ

$$F(\sigma, \xi) \leq 0, \quad F : \text{convex function}$$

von Mises: $\sigma_D = \sigma - \frac{\text{tr} \sigma}{n} \mathbb{I}$ (Deviatoric part)

$$F(\sigma, \xi) = \begin{cases} |\sigma_D| - (\xi + \kappa) & \text{isotropic hardening} \\ |\sigma_D - \xi_D| - \kappa & \text{kinematic hardening} \end{cases}$$

Relation between the stress-rate $\dot{\sigma}$ and the strain rate $\dot{\epsilon}$:
involves the compliance tensor A (symmetric rank 4 tensor)

Yield condition involves hardening variables ξ

$$F(\sigma, \xi) \leq 0, \quad F : \text{convex function}$$

von Mises: $\sigma_D = \sigma - \frac{\text{tr} \sigma}{n} \mathbb{I}$ (Deviatoric part)

$$F(\sigma, \xi) = \begin{cases} |\sigma_D| - (\xi + \kappa) & \text{isotropic hardening} \\ |\sigma_D - \xi_D| - \kappa & \text{kinematic hardening} \end{cases}$$

Relation between the stress-rate $\dot{\sigma}$ and the strain rate $\dot{\varepsilon}$:
 involves the compliance tensor A (symmetric rank 4 tensor)
 flow rule for ξ :

involves the hardening tensor $H \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$

A, H positive definite

$\mathbb{K}(t)$: set of all pairs (τ, η) with

$$\tau \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{n \times n}), \quad \eta \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m) \quad (1)$$

τ fulfills the balance of forces in the weak form:

$$(\tau, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega} = (f, \varphi)_{\Omega} + \int_{\partial \Omega} p \varphi \, d\sigma \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in H_{\Gamma}^1(\Omega). \quad (\text{BF})$$

For **isotropic** hardening: $m = 1$,

$$\eta \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}), \quad |\tau_D| - \eta \leq \kappa, \quad (\text{YCI})$$

for **kinematic** hardening: $m = n(n+1)/2$

$$\eta \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{n \times n}), \quad |\tau_D - \eta_D| \leq \kappa. \quad (\text{YCK})$$

Given:

$$f, \dot{f} \in L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega)), \quad \ddot{f} \in L^1(0, T; L^2),$$

$$p, \dot{p} \in L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(\partial\Omega)), \quad \ddot{p} \in L^1(0, T; L^2(\partial\Omega)),$$

$$(\sigma_0, 0) \in \mathbb{K}(0)$$

Given:

$$\begin{aligned}
 f, \dot{f} &\in L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega)), & \ddot{f} &\in L^1(0, T; L^2), \\
 p, \dot{p} &\in L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(\partial\Omega)), & \ddot{p} &\in L^1(0, T; L^2(\partial\Omega)), \\
 (\sigma_0, 0) &\in \mathbb{K}(0)
 \end{aligned}$$

Find $\sigma \in L^\infty(L^2)$, $\xi \in L^\infty(L^2)$ such that

$$\dot{\sigma} \in L^2(L^2), \quad \dot{\xi} \in L^2(L^2)$$

$$(\sigma(t), \xi(t)) \in \mathbb{K}(t), \quad t \in [0, T]$$

$$\sigma(0) = \sigma_0, \quad \xi(0) = 0$$

$$(A\dot{\sigma}, \sigma - \tau) + (H\dot{\xi}, \xi - \eta) \leq 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } [0, T]$$

$$\text{for all } (\tau, \eta) \in \mathbb{K}(t).$$

DEFINITION (SAFE LOAD CONDITION)

Exist $\hat{\sigma} \in L^\infty(L^2)$, $\hat{\xi} \in L^\infty(L^2)$:

$$\dot{\hat{\sigma}} \in L^\infty(L^2), \ddot{\hat{\sigma}} \in L^1(L^2), \dot{\hat{\xi}} \in L^\infty(L^2)$$

$$(\hat{\sigma}(0), 0) \in \mathbb{K}(0), \hat{\xi}|_{t=0} = 0$$

$$(\hat{\sigma}(t, \cdot), \hat{\xi}(t, \cdot)) \in \mathbb{K}(t),$$

and exists $\delta > 0$:

$$|\hat{\sigma}_D| - \xi \leq \kappa - \delta \text{ or } |\hat{\sigma}_D - \hat{\xi}_D| \leq \kappa - \delta, \text{ respectively.}$$

Johnson 78: Exists $u \in L^\infty(H_T^1)$ with $\dot{u} \in L^\infty(H_T^1)$,
 and a multiplier $\dot{\lambda} \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}))$ (Frehse & Loebach 08)
 s.t. for isotropic hardening:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \dot{u} + \nabla \dot{u}) &= A\dot{\sigma} + \dot{\lambda}\sigma_D|\sigma_D|^{-1} \\ 0 &= H\dot{\xi} - \dot{\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

where $\dot{\lambda} \geq 0$ a.e. and $\dot{\lambda}(|\sigma_D| - \xi - \kappa) = 0$,

Johnson 78: Exists $u \in L^\infty(H_T^1)$ with $\dot{u} \in L^\infty(H_T^1)$,

and a multiplier $\dot{\lambda} \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}))$ (Frehse & Loebach 08)

s.t. for kinematic hardening:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \dot{u} + \nabla \dot{u}) &= A\dot{\sigma} + \dot{\lambda}(\sigma_D - \xi_D)|\sigma_D - \xi_D| \\ 0 &= H\dot{\xi} - \dot{\lambda}(\sigma_D - \xi_D)|\sigma_D - \xi_D|. \end{aligned}$$

where $\dot{\lambda} \geq 0$ a.e. and $\dot{\lambda}(|\sigma_D - \xi_D| - \kappa) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}(\nabla \dot{u} + \nabla \dot{u}) &= A\dot{\sigma} + \dot{\lambda}(\sigma_D - \xi_D)|\sigma_D - \xi_D| \\ 0 &= H\dot{\xi} - \dot{\lambda}(\sigma_D - \xi_D)|\sigma_D - \xi_D|.\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(\nabla \dot{u} + \nabla \dot{u}) = A\dot{\sigma} + \dot{\lambda}(\sigma_D - \xi_D)|\sigma_D - \xi_D|$$

$$0 = H\dot{\xi} - \dot{\lambda}(\sigma_D - \xi_D)|\sigma_D - \xi_D|.$$

strain = elastic strain + plastic strain

$$\dot{\epsilon} = \dot{\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} F(\sigma, \xi), \text{ where } \dot{\epsilon}_{pl} = 0, \text{ if } F < 0,$$

$$H\dot{\xi} = -\dot{\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} F(\sigma, \xi)$$

Johnson 78

$$\nabla \dot{u} \in L^\infty(L^2)$$

Seregin 94

$$\sigma, \xi \in L^\infty(H_{loc}^1)$$

$$\nabla(\varepsilon) \in L^\infty(C_{loc}^*)$$

isotropic hard.

Alber & Nessenenko '09

$$\sigma, \xi \in L^\infty(H^{\frac{1}{3}-\delta})$$

kinematic hard

Knees 08

$$\sigma, \xi \in L^\infty(H^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta})$$

kinematic hard

Frehse & L\"obach '09

$$\sigma, \xi \in L^\infty(H^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta})$$

kinem. & isotr. hard

L\"obach '09

$$\sigma, \xi \in L^\infty(H^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta})$$

kinem. & isot. hard

Frehse & L\"obach '11

$$\nabla \dot{u}, \dot{\sigma}, \dot{\xi} \in L^\infty(L^{2+2\delta})$$

kinem. & isotr. hard

$$\Delta_t^s w(t, x) = w(t + h, x) - w(t, x),$$

$$\Delta_i^s w(t, x) = w(t, x + se_i) - w(t, x).$$

$$\Delta_t^s w(t, x) = w(t + h, x) - w(t, x),$$

$$\Delta_i^s w(t, x) = w(t, x + se_i) - w(t, x).$$

THEOREM (**Regularity in time**, FREHSE & SP. 2012)

$$h^{-2} \int_0^h \int_0^{T-h} \int_{\Omega} \left[|\Delta_t^s \dot{\sigma}|^2 + |\Delta_t^s \dot{\xi}|^2 \right] \leq C$$

uniformly for $0 < h < h_0$.

\Rightarrow for kinematic hardening:

$$h^{-2} \int_0^{T-h} \int_0^h \int_{\Omega} |\Delta_t^s \nabla \dot{u}|^2 \leq C$$

$$\Delta_t^s w(t, x) = w(t + h, x) - w(t, x),$$

$$\Delta_i^s w(t, x) = w(t, x + se_i) - w(t, x).$$

$$h^{-2} \int_0^h \int_0^{T-h} \int_{\Omega} \left[|\Delta_t^s \dot{\sigma}|^2 + |\Delta_t^s \dot{\xi}|^2 \right] \leq C$$

uniformly for $0 < h < h_0$.

$$\Delta_t^s w(t, x) = w(t + h, x) - w(t, x),$$

$$\Delta_i^s w(t, x) = w(t, x + se_i) - w(t, x).$$

$$h^{-2} \int_0^h \int_0^{T-h} \int_{\Omega} \left[|\Delta_t^s \dot{\sigma}|^2 + |\Delta_t^s \dot{\xi}|^2 \right] \leq C$$

uniformly for $0 < h < h_0$.

Comment: Even prolongation in time: $\sigma : [-T, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{sym}^{n \times n}$
periodic,

$$\sigma = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} c_m(x) \exp\left(\frac{im\pi}{2T} t\right) \Rightarrow$$

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} m^{1-\delta} \int_{\Omega} |c_m(x)|^2 dy \leq C_{\delta} \quad \text{for all } \delta > 0.$$

THEOREM (**Local regularity in space**)

$$\sup_{0 \leq h \leq h_0} h^{-1} \int_0^{T-h} \int_{\Omega_0} |\Delta_i^h \dot{\sigma}|^2 + |\Delta_i^h \dot{\xi}|^2 \leq C, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

for any domain Ω_0 such that $\bar{\Omega}_0 \subset \Omega$ and $h_0 \leq \text{dist}(\partial\Omega, \partial\Omega_0)$.

Penalty potential

$$G_\mu(\sigma, \xi) = \frac{1}{2\mu} [F(\sigma, \xi)]_+^2 \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$\nabla G_\mu = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\mu} [F]_+ \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_D |\sigma_D|^{-1} \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{isotr. h.} \\ \frac{1}{\mu} [F]_+ \frac{\sigma_D - \xi_D}{|\sigma_D - \xi_D|} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{kinem. h.} \end{cases}$$

Penalty potential

$$G_\mu(\sigma, \xi) = \frac{1}{2\mu} [F(\sigma, \xi)]_+^2 \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$\nabla G_\mu = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\mu} [F]_+ \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_D |\sigma_D|^{-1} \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{isotr. h.} & |\partial_\xi G_\mu| = |\partial_\sigma G_\mu| \\ \frac{1}{\mu} [F]_+ \frac{\sigma_D - \xi_D}{|\sigma_D - \xi_D|} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{kinem. h.} & \partial_\xi G_\mu = -\partial_\sigma G_\mu \end{cases}$$

Find $\sigma_\mu, \xi_\mu \in L^\infty(L^2)$ with $\dot{\sigma}_\mu, \dot{\xi}_\mu \in L^\infty(L^2)$,

$$(\sigma_\mu, \xi_\mu)|_{t=0} = (\sigma_0, 0) \quad (\text{IC})$$

$$(\sigma_\mu, \nabla \varphi)_\Omega = (f, \varphi)_\Omega + \int_{\partial\Omega} p \varphi \, d\sigma \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in H_\Gamma^1(\Omega). \quad (\text{Bof})$$

$$0 = (A\dot{\sigma}_\mu + \partial_\sigma G_\mu, \tau)_\Omega \quad (\text{P1})$$

for all symmetric $\tau \in \{\nabla \varphi : \varphi \in H_\Gamma^1\}^\perp$

$$0 = H\dot{\xi}_\mu + \partial_\xi G_\mu \quad (\text{P2})$$

Find $\sigma_\mu, \xi_\mu \in L^\infty(L^2)$ with $\dot{\sigma}_\mu, \dot{\xi}_\mu \in L^\infty(L^2)$,

$$(\sigma_\mu, \xi_\mu)|_{t=0} = (\sigma_0, 0) \quad (\text{IC})$$

$$(\sigma_\mu, \nabla \varphi)_\Omega = (f, \varphi)_\Omega + \int_{\partial\Omega} p \varphi \, d\sigma \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in H_T^1(\Omega). \quad (\text{Bof})$$

$$0 = (A\dot{\sigma}_\mu + \partial_\sigma G_\mu, \tau)_\Omega \quad (\text{P1})$$

for all symmetric $\tau \in \{\nabla \varphi : \varphi \in H_T^1\}^\perp$

$$0 = H\dot{\xi}_\mu + \partial_\xi G_\mu \quad (\text{P2})$$

Well known: Problem has a unique solution, along with a sequence of handy priori estimates independent on μ .

For the time regularity

$$0 = (A\dot{\sigma}_\mu + \partial_\sigma G_\mu, \tau)_\Omega \quad (\text{P1})$$

$$0 = H\dot{\xi}_\mu + \partial_\xi G_\mu \quad (\text{P2})$$

- ▶ test (P1) with $\int_0^h \Delta_t^s \dot{\sigma}_\mu ds$ and (P2) with $\int_0^h \Delta_t^s \dot{\xi}_\mu ds$
- ▶ use the elementary relation

$$A\tau : \Delta_t^s \tau = -\frac{1}{2} A \Delta_t^s \tau : \Delta_t^s \tau + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_t^s (A\tau : \tau),$$

Arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{t_1}^{t_2-h} \int_0^h (A \Delta_t^s \dot{\sigma}_\mu, \Delta_t^s \dot{\sigma}_\mu)_\Omega + (H \Delta_t^s \dot{\xi}_\mu, \Delta_t^s \dot{\xi}_\mu)_\Omega \\
 &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2-h} \int_0^h \int_\Omega \Delta_t^s (A \dot{\sigma}_\mu : \dot{\sigma}_\mu) + \Delta_t^s (H \dot{\xi}_\mu : \dot{\xi}_\mu) \\
 &+ \text{term with } G_\mu - 2 \int_{t_1}^{t_2-h} \int_0^h (\nabla \dot{u}_\mu, \Delta_t^s \dot{\sigma}_\mu)_\Omega
 \end{aligned}$$

In the limit $\mu \rightarrow 0$, $t_1 \rightarrow 0$ $t_2 \rightarrow T$:

- ▶ $\{\dots\} \geq C \int_0^h \int_0^{T-h} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta_t^s \dot{\sigma}_\mu|^2 + |\Delta_t^s \dot{\xi}_\mu|^2$
- ▶ $\{\dots\} \leq C(\|\dot{\sigma}_\mu\|_{L^\infty(L^2)} + \|\dot{\xi}_\mu\|_{L^\infty(L^2)})h^2$
- ▶ $\limsup \{\dots\} \leq 0$ (use the convexity of the the penalty potential and the following convergence result

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} G_\mu(\sigma_\mu, \xi_\mu) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \mu \rightarrow 0,$$

- ▶ $\{\dots\} \leq Ch^2$ (use the safe load and bounds for $\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(L^2)}$)

Local regularity in space

Test (P1), (P2) with

$$\zeta^2 (E_t^s E_i^h - I) \dot{\sigma}_\mu = \dot{\sigma}_\mu(t + s, x + h e_i) - \dot{\sigma}_\mu(t, x), \quad \zeta^2 \dots \dot{\xi}_\mu$$

ζ : Localization function

In principle the arguments are similar, but in detail even more tricky as for the time direction.

Local regularity in space

Test (P1), (P2) with

$$\zeta^2 (E_t^s E_i^h - I) \dot{\sigma}_\mu = \dot{\sigma}_\mu(t + s, x + h e_i) - \dot{\sigma}_\mu(t, x), \quad \zeta^2 \dots \dot{\xi}_\mu$$

ζ : Localization function

In principle the arguments are similar, but in detail even more tricky as for the time direction.

In case you wonder:

$$\begin{aligned} |(E_t^s E_i^h - I) \dot{\sigma}|^2 &= |(E_t^s E_i^h - E_i^h + E_i^h - I) \dot{\sigma}|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{7}{8} |\Delta_i^h \dot{\sigma}|^2 - \frac{1}{8} |\Delta_t^s E_i^h \dot{\sigma}|^2 \end{aligned}$$

i.e. for the space regularity one has to use the estimates in time also.

Estimates up to the boundary:

- ▶ W. l. o. g.: Boundary flat,
- ▶ tangential derivatives like in the interior case
- ▶ use integrated embedding theorems
- ▶ ... still work in progress!

Thank you!