

Splitting in time schemes: from fluids to phase-field models

Francisco Guillen-Gonzalez,

guillen@us.es

Depto EDAN and IMUS, Universidad de Sevilla, Spain.

Collaborators:

J.V.Gutierrez-Santacreu (USE, Spain),

M.V.Redondo-Neble (UCA, Spain)

G.Tierra (Praha, Czech R.),

R.Cabrales (Bio-Bio, Chile)

MORE, 21-26 September 2014, Liblice.

- 1 Fluids (Navier-Stokes model)
 - No-incremental pressure scheme (Chorin-Temam)
 - Incremental pressure scheme (Van-Kan)
- 2 Two immiscible incompressible fluids (Abels-Garcke-Grun's model)
- 3 Nematic liquid crystals (Lin's model)

Model

- Differential system for (\mathbf{u}, p) (velocity, pressure)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} - \nabla \cdot (2\nu \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}) + \nabla p = 0 & (\mathbf{u}\text{-system}) \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 & (p\text{-equation}) \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

with $\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u} = (\nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \mathbf{u}^t)/2$ and $\nu > 0$ the viscosity coefficient.

- BCs:

$$\mathbf{u}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

- ICs:

$$\mathbf{u}|_{t=0} = \mathbf{u}_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

Energy's law

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_{kin}(\mathbf{u}) + \int_{\Omega} 2\nu |\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}|^2 = 0, \quad (2)$$

One-step time scheme

Time-discrete schemes: Given a (uniform) partition of time interval $[0, T]$: $\{0 = t_0, t_1, \dots, t_N = T\}$, with time step $k = T/N$, to design how to construct $(\mathbf{u}^n, p^n) \sim (\mathbf{u}(t_n), p(t_n))$

Step n : Given \mathbf{u}^{n-1} , to compute (\mathbf{u}^n, p^n) .

Notation: $\mathbf{u}^0 := \mathbf{u}^{n-1}$ (data) and $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}^n$ (unknown). Idem for the pressure.

No-incremental pressure scheme (Chorin-Temam)

Reformulated as splitting (or segregated) scheme:

Sub-step 0: Given \mathbf{u}^0 , find p^0 solving

$$-k \Delta p^0 + \varepsilon p^0 + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}^0 = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \partial_n p^0|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

Sub-step 1: Given \mathbf{u}^0, p^0 , find \mathbf{u} solving

$$\frac{1}{k}(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^0) + C(\mathbf{u}^0, \mathbf{u}) - \nabla \cdot (2\nu \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}) + \nabla p^0 = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \mathbf{u}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Reformulation of convective terms: $C(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) := (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})\mathbf{v}$

Then

$$\int_{\Omega} C(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \quad \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Unconditional energy-stability

Idea: To get the energy-stability of the scheme, it is necessary to maintain the energy law (2) at discrete level.

Reformulation of No-incremental Algorithm, by using the “auxiliary velocity”:

$$\mathbf{u}_*^0 := \mathbf{u}^0 - k \nabla p^0$$

Sub-step 0:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_*^0 + \varepsilon p^0 = 0, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad (\mathbf{u}_*^0, \nabla \bar{p}) = \varepsilon(p^0, \bar{p}) \quad \forall \bar{p}$$

Sub-step 1: (without pressure!!)

$$\frac{1}{k}(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_*^0) + C(\mathbf{u}^0, \mathbf{u}) - \nabla \cdot (\nu \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \mathbf{u}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Stability estimates

Notation: $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{L^2}$ and $(f, g) = \int_{\Omega} f(\mathbf{x})g(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}$

Sub-step 0: Multiplying by \mathbf{u}_*^0 :

$$\frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}_*^0\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}^0\|^2 \right) + \text{ND0} + \text{ND}_{pen} = 0,$$

where

$$\text{ND0} = \frac{k}{2} \left\| \frac{\mathbf{u}_*^0 - \mathbf{u}^0}{k} \right\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \|\sqrt{k} \nabla p^0\|^2, \quad \text{ND}_{pen} = \varepsilon \|p^0\|^2$$

Sub-step 1: Multiplying by \mathbf{u} :

$$\frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}_*^0\|^2 \right) + \text{ND1} + \int_{\Omega} 2\nu |\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}|^2 = 0$$

where

$$\text{ND1} = \frac{k}{2} \left\| \frac{\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_*^0}{k} \right\|^2$$

Stability estimates

Adding (Notation: discrete time-derivative $\delta_t \mathbf{a}_n = (\mathbf{a}_n - \mathbf{a}_{n-1})/k$):

Theorem (Unconditional energy-stability)

$$\delta_t E_{kin}(\mathbf{u}^n) + \int_{\Omega} 2\nu |\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}^n|^2 + \text{ND0} + \text{ND}_{pen} + \text{ND1} = 0,$$

RK: No-incremental Algorithm is a “fully dissipative” scheme.

Estimates: “Integrating in time” (adding in n and multiplying by k):

- Velocity : $(\mathbf{u}^n)_n$ in $l^\infty(L^2) \cap l^2(H^1)$,
- Pressure, via numerical dissipation terms:

$$\text{ND0} \Rightarrow (\sqrt{k} \nabla p^n)_n \text{ bounded. in } l^2(L^2)$$

$$\text{ND}_{pen} \Rightarrow (\sqrt{\varepsilon} p^n)_n \text{ bounded. in } l^2(L^2)$$

- Pressure, via “inf-sup” LBB's condition

Sub-optimal error estimates [J.Shen, J.L.Guermond, ...]

- Velocity:

$$(u(t_n) - \mathbf{u}^n)_n \sim O(k) \text{ in } l^\infty(L^2) \cap l^2(H^1),$$

- Pressure:

$$(p(t_n) - p^n)_n \sim O(k^{1/2}) \text{ in } l^2(L^2)$$

Drawbacks:

-

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = k \Delta p - \varepsilon p \sim O(k + \varepsilon)$$

- artificial BC for pressure

$$\partial_n p|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

Incremental pressure scheme (Van-Kan)

Sub-step 0: Given \mathbf{u}^0 and p^{-1} , find $\delta_t p^0$ solving

$$-k^2 \Delta \delta_t p^0 + \varepsilon \delta_t p^0 + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}^0 = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \partial_n \delta_t p^0|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

and

$$p^0 = p^{-1} + k \delta_t p^0$$

Sub-step 1: Find \mathbf{u} solving

$$\frac{1}{k}(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^0) + C(\mathbf{u}^0, \mathbf{u}) - \nabla \cdot (2\nu \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}) + \nabla(p^0 + k \delta_t p^0) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \mathbf{u}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Unconditional energy-stability

Reformulation of Incremental Algorithm by using

$$\mathbf{u}_*^0 := \mathbf{u}^0 - k^2 \nabla \delta_t p^0$$

Sub-step 0:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_*^0 + \varepsilon \delta_t p^0 = 0, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad (\mathbf{u}_*^0, \nabla \bar{p}) = \varepsilon (\delta_t p^0, \bar{p}) \quad \forall \bar{p}$$

Sub-step 1: (without part of pressure!!)

$$\frac{1}{k}(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_*^0) + C(\mathbf{u}^0, \mathbf{u}) - \nabla \cdot (2\nu \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}) + \nabla p^0 = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \mathbf{u}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Stability estimates

Sub-step 0: Multiplying by \mathbf{u}_*^0 :

$$\frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}_*^0\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}^0\|^2 \right) + \text{ND0} + \text{ND}_{pen} = 0,$$

where

$$\text{ND0} = \frac{k}{2} \left\| \frac{\mathbf{u}_*^0 - \mathbf{u}^0}{k} \right\|^2 = \frac{k}{2} \|k \nabla \delta_t \rho^0\|^2, \quad \text{ND}_{pen} = \varepsilon \|\delta_t \rho^0\|^2$$

Sub-step 1: Multiplying by \mathbf{u} :

$$\frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 - \|\mathbf{u}_*^0\|^2 \right) + \text{ND1} + \int_{\Omega} 2\nu |\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}|^2 + (\nabla \rho^0, \mathbf{u}) = 0$$

where

$$\text{ND1} = \frac{k}{2} \left\| \frac{\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_*^0}{k} \right\|^2$$

Adding:

$$\frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}^0\|^2 \right) + \int_{\Omega} 2\nu |\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}|^2 + (\nabla p^0, \mathbf{u}) + \text{ND0} + \text{ND}_{pen} + \text{ND1} = 0,$$

Lemma (Splitting error)

$$(\nabla p^0, \mathbf{u}) = \delta_t \left(\frac{1}{2} \|k \nabla p\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|p\|^2 \right) - \frac{k}{2} \|k \delta_t \nabla p\|^2 - \frac{\varepsilon k}{2} \|\delta_t p\|^2$$

RK: Scheme introduces a "numerical source"

$$\text{NS} := -\frac{k}{2} \|k \delta_t \nabla p\|^2 - \frac{\varepsilon k}{2} \|\delta_t p\|^2$$

and a "modified energy"

$$E_{mod}(p) := \frac{1}{2} \|k \nabla p\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|p\|^2$$

Developping ND1:

Lemma

$$\text{ND}_{pen} + \text{ND1} + \text{NS} = \frac{k}{2} \|\delta_t \mathbf{u}_\star\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon k}{2} \|\delta_t \mathbf{p} - \delta_t \mathbf{p}^0\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon k}{2} \|\delta_t \mathbf{p}^0\|^2$$

RK: The numerical source is controlled by the numerical dissipation.

Theorem (Unconditional energy-stability with modified energy)

$$\delta_t E_{kin}(\mathbf{u}) + \int_{\Omega} 2\nu |\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}|^2 \\ + \delta_t E_{mod}(p) + \text{ND0} + \frac{k}{2} \|\delta_t \mathbf{u}_\star\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon k}{2} \|\delta_t \mathbf{p} - \delta_t \mathbf{p}^0\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon k}{2} \|\delta_t \mathbf{p}^0\|^2 = 0.$$

RK: Incremental Algorithm is a dissipative scheme with a modified energy.

A priori Estimates

- Velocity:

$$(\mathbf{u}^n)_n \text{ bounded in } l^\infty(L^2) \cap l^2(H^1),$$

- Pressure, via the modified energy:

$$(k \nabla p^n)_n \text{ and } (\sqrt{\varepsilon} p^n)_n \text{ bounded in } l^\infty(L^2)$$

Optimal accuracy [G-G & Redondo-Neble'14]

- Velocity:

$$(\mathbf{u}(t_n) - \mathbf{u}^n)_n \text{ and } (\partial_t \mathbf{u}(t_n) - \delta_t \mathbf{u}^n)_n \sim O(k) \text{ in } l^\infty(L^2) \cap l^2(H^1),$$

- Pressure:

$$(p(t_n) - p^n)_n \sim O(k) \text{ in } l^\infty(L^2)$$

Two immiscible incompressible fluids (Abels-Garcke-Grun's model)

Model

Mixture of two immiscible and incompressible fluids with different (and constant) densities $\rho_1 > \rho_2 > 0$.

- Differential system (NS fluids + CH phase):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \phi_t + \nabla \cdot (\phi \mathbf{u}) - \nabla \cdot (m(\phi) \nabla \mu) = 0, \\ -\lambda \varepsilon \Delta \phi + \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} f(\phi) = \mu, \\ \rho(\phi) \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \left((\rho(\phi) \mathbf{u} - \rho_{dif} m(\phi) \nabla \mu) \cdot \nabla \right) \mathbf{u} \\ - \nabla \cdot (2\eta(\phi) \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}) + \nabla p + \phi \nabla \mu = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \end{array} \right. \quad (3)$$

- \mathbf{u} velocity, p potential function (depending on pressure and phase)
- ϕ is an order parameter ($\phi \equiv -1$ in fluid 1 and $\phi \equiv 1$ in fluid 2)
- μ chemical potential
- ρ density (linear wrt. the phase): $\rho(\phi) = \frac{\rho_1 + \rho_2}{2} + \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{2} \phi := \rho_{med} + \rho_{dif} \phi$

Moreover,

- $\eta(\phi) > 0$ viscosity of the mixture,
- $\lambda > 0$ the surface tension,
- $\varepsilon > 0$ a (small) parameter related to the thickness of the interface between the two fluids.
- $m(\phi) > 0$ is the mobility function
- $F(\phi)$ is the polynomial Ginzburg-Landau double well potential with minima in ± 1 (representing the pure phases):

$$F(\phi) = \frac{1}{4}(\phi^2 - 1)^2, \quad f(\phi) = F'(\phi) = (\phi^2 - 1)\phi.$$

Energy's law [Abels-Garcke-Grun'12]

Conservation of phase

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \phi(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0. \quad (4)$$

Energy's law

Multiplying by $(\mu, \partial_t \phi, \mathbf{u}, \rho)$ in (3), and testing the ρ -equation by $|\mathbf{u}|^2/2$, the following (dissipative) energy law holds:

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(\mathbf{u}(t), \phi(t)) + 2 \int_{\Omega} \eta(\phi) |\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}|^2 + \int_{\Omega} m(\phi) |\nabla \mu|^2 = 0, \quad (5)$$

where the free energy is

$$E(\mathbf{u}, \phi) = E_{kin}(\mathbf{u}) + \lambda E_{mix}(\phi) := \int_{\Omega} \rho(\phi) \frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} + \lambda \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \phi|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} F(\phi) \right),$$

Splitting in time scheme [G-G & Tierra, to appear in JCM]

Step 1: Compute $[\phi^n]$ a constant truncation of ϕ^n outside of $-1, 1$, and consider the modified density

$$\rho([\phi^n]) = \rho_{med} + \rho_{dif}[\phi^n] \quad (6)$$

Step 2: Find $(\phi^{n+1}, \mathbf{w}^{n+1})$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \delta_t \phi^{n+1} + \nabla \cdot ([\phi^n] \mathbf{u}_*^n) - \nabla \cdot (m([\phi^n]) \nabla \mu^{n+1}) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\lambda \varepsilon \Delta \phi^{n+1} + \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} f_k(\phi^{n+1}, \phi^n) - \mu^{n+1} = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial \phi^{n+1}}{\partial n} \Big|_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}^{n+1}}{\partial n} \Big|_{\partial \Omega} = 0 & \text{in } (0, T). \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where

$$\mathbf{u}_*^n = \mathbf{u}^n - k \frac{[\phi^n]}{\rho([\phi^n])} \nabla \mu^{n+1}, \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (8)$$

and $f_k(\phi^{n+1}, \phi^n)$ denotes an approximation of $f(\phi(t_{n+1}))$.

Step 3: Find $(\mathbf{u}^{n+1}, p^{n+1})$ satisfying

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rho([\phi^n])\delta_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}^{n+1} \delta_t \rho([\phi^{n+1}]) - \nabla \cdot (2\eta([\phi^n]) \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}^{n+1}) \\ \quad + \mathbf{C}(\rho(\phi^n) \mathbf{u}^n - \rho_{diff} \mathbf{m}([\phi^n]) \nabla \mu^{n+1}, \mathbf{u}^{n+1}) + \nabla p^{n+1} = -[\phi^n] \nabla \mu^{n+1}, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}^{n+1} = 0, \\ \mathbf{u}^{n+1}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{array} \right. \quad (9)$$

RK: To arrive at (9) we have used the following “residual expression”

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\delta_t \rho([\phi^{n+1}]) + \operatorname{div}(\rho(\phi^n) \mathbf{u}^n - \rho_{diff} \mathbf{m}(\phi^n) \nabla \mu^n) \right) \mathbf{u}^{n+1}.$$

This idea has been used in [G-G & Gutierrez-Santacreu'08] to define a stable approximation of the density-dependent Navier-Stokes problem.

Unconditional energy-stability

Conservative property

$$\int_{\Omega} \phi^{n+1} dx = \int_{\Omega} \phi^n dx.$$

Theorem (Uncond. stability)

It holds

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_t E(\mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \phi^{n+1}) + \int_{\Omega} 2\eta([\phi^n]) |\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}^{n+1}|^2 + \int_{\Omega} m([\phi^n]) |\nabla \mu^{n+1}|^2 \\ + ND_{philic}^{n+1} + ND_{phobic}^{n+1} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where the philic numerical dissipation is

$$ND_{philic}^{n+1} = k \frac{\lambda \varepsilon}{2} \|\delta_t \nabla \phi^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{k}{2} \int_{\Omega} \rho([\phi^n]) \left(\left| \frac{\mathbf{u}^n - \mathbf{u}_*^n}{k} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\mathbf{u}_*^n - \mathbf{u}^{n+1}}{k} \right|^2 \right) dx$$

and the phobic numerical dissipation (or source) is

$$ND_{phobic}^{n+1} = \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \left(\int_{\Omega} f_k(\phi^{n+1}, \phi^n) \delta_t \phi^{n+1} - \delta_t \int_{\Omega} F(\phi^{n+1}) \right).$$

Nematic liquid crystals (Lin's model)

Model

- Energy:

$$E(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{d}) := E_{kin}(\mathbf{u}) + \lambda E_{nem}(\mathbf{d}) := \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}|^2 + \lambda \left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega} F(\mathbf{d}) \right)$$

with $\lambda > 0$ an elastic constant and $F(\mathbf{d})$ a $C^2(\mathbb{R})$ truncate (for $|\mathbf{d}| > 1$) Ginzburg-Landau potential.

- Differential system (NS fluids + AC nematic): By using $\mathbf{w} = \frac{\delta E(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{d})}{\delta \mathbf{d}}$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t \mathbf{d} + (\nabla \mathbf{d}) \mathbf{u} + \gamma \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0} \\ -\lambda \Delta \mathbf{d} + \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon^2} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{d}) - \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0} \\ \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \nabla p - (\nabla \mathbf{d})^t \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad (11)$$

Energy's law

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(\mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{d}(t)) + \nu \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + \gamma \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{w}|^2 = 0. \quad (12)$$

Linear stable approximation of the potential terms ([Shen])

The Taylor expansion of second order of $F(\mathbf{d})$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mathbf{d}^{n+1}) - F(\mathbf{d}^n) &= \nabla_{\mathbf{d}} F(\mathbf{d}^n) \cdot (\mathbf{d}^{n+1} - \mathbf{d}^n) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{d}^{n+1} - \mathbf{d}^n)^T H_{\mathbf{d}} F(\mathbf{d}^{n+\theta}) (\mathbf{d}^{n+1} - \mathbf{d}^n), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $H_{\mathbf{d}} F(\mathbf{d})$ is the Hessian matrix of $F(\mathbf{d})$ and $\mathbf{d}^{n+\theta} = \theta \mathbf{d}^{n+1} + (1 - \theta) \mathbf{d}^n$ for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Since $F(\mathbf{d})$ is essentially quadratic then the euclidean norm of the hessian

$$\|H_{\mathbf{d}} F(\mathbf{d})\| \leq H_F, \quad \forall \mathbf{d}.$$

In particular,

$$\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{d}^{n+1} - \mathbf{d}^n)^T H_{\mathbf{d}} F(\mathbf{d}^{n+\theta}) (\mathbf{d}^{n+1} - \mathbf{d}^n) \leq \frac{H_F}{2} |\mathbf{d}^{n+1} - \mathbf{d}^n|^2 \quad (14)$$

Consequently, if one considers the approximation of the potential term $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{d}(t_{n+1}))$ as

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{d}^n) + \frac{H_F}{2}(\mathbf{d}^{n+1} - \mathbf{d}^n)$$

(i.e. an explicit approximation of the potential term plus a large enough first-order linear dissipation term, from (13) and (14), one has

$$\left(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{d}^n) + \frac{H_F}{2}(\mathbf{d}^{n+1} - \mathbf{d}^n) \right) \cdot (\mathbf{d}^{n+1} - \mathbf{d}^n) \geq F(\mathbf{d}^{n+1}) - F(\mathbf{d}^n). \quad (15)$$

This inequality will play an essential role for the energy-stability of schemes.

Description of the scheme

Step1 Find $(\mathbf{d}^{n+1}, \mathbf{w}^{n+1})$:

$$\begin{cases} \delta_t \mathbf{d}^{n+1} + (\nabla \mathbf{d}^n) \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} + \gamma \mathbf{w}^{n+1} = 0, \\ -\lambda \Delta \mathbf{d}^{n+1} + \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon^2} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{d}^n) + \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon^2} \frac{H_F}{2} (\mathbf{d}^{n+1} - \mathbf{d}^n) - \mathbf{w}^{n+1} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} = \mathbf{u}^n + k (\nabla \mathbf{d}^n)^T \mathbf{w}^{n+1}. \quad (17)$$

RK: This problem can be decoupled at algebraic level.

Step2 Find $p^{n+1} \in P_h$:

$$k(\nabla p^{n+1}, \nabla \bar{p}) + j(p^{n+1}, \bar{p}) = -(\nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1}, \bar{p}), \quad \forall \bar{p} \in P_h \quad (18)$$

with the stabilization term (letting equal FE spaces for velocity and pressure)

$$j(p^{n+1}, \bar{p}) = \frac{S}{\nu} (p^{n+1} - \Pi_0(p^{n+1}), \bar{p} - \Pi_0(\bar{p})), \quad (19)$$

where $S > 0$ is an algorithmic constant and Π_0 is the L^2 -orthogonal projection operator onto the piecewise constant finite-element space Y_h .

Step3 Find \mathbf{u}^{n+1} s.t. $\mathbf{u}^{n+1}|_{\partial\Omega} = \mathbf{0}$ and satisfying

$$\delta_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1} + C(\mathbf{u}^n, \mathbf{u}^{n+1}) - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u}^{n+1} + \nabla p^{n+1} - (\nabla \mathbf{d}^n)^T \mathbf{w}^{n+1} = 0. \quad (20)$$

Reformulation. Defining

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} = \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} - k \nabla p^{n+1} \quad (21)$$

then Step 3 is rewritten without pressure and elastic terms:

$$\frac{\mathbf{u}^{n+1} - \hat{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1}}{k} + C(\mathbf{u}^n, \mathbf{u}^{n+1}) - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u}^{n+1} + \nabla p^{n+1} - (\nabla \mathbf{d}^n)^T \mathbf{w}^{n+1} = 0.$$

Unconditional energy-stability

Theorem (Unconditional stability)

It holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \delta_t E(\mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \mathbf{d}^{n+1}) + \nu \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^{n+1}\|^2 + \gamma \|\mathbf{w}^{n+1}\|^2 \\
 & + k \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\nabla \delta_t \mathbf{d}^{n+1}\|^2 + j(\rho^{n+1}, \rho^{n+1}) \\
 & + \frac{k}{2} \left(\left\| \frac{\mathbf{u}^{n+1} - \widehat{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1}}{k} \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} - \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1}}{k} \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}^n}{k} \right\|^2 \right) \leq 0
 \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Conclusions and Future work.

Conclusions

- 1 Comparison between No-Incremental and Incremental splitting schemes in fluids
- 2 Application of No-incremental ideas to Two Phase-Field models, obtaining energy-stable first-order (fully) discrete schemes.

Future work

- 1 Application of Incremental ideas to Two Phase-Field models,
- 2 Convergence and accuracy of splitting in time schemes
- 3 To design second order splitting stable schemes
- 4 Large time convergence of splitting schemes in complex fluids

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION