Non-Darcy Flows in the porous media for compressible fluids and application

Akif Ibragimov, Texas Tech University, Lubbock

In Collaboration with : E.Aulisa, M.Toda, A.Cakmak, A.Solyinin, L. Bloshanskaya,L.Hoang, K.Thinh (Texas Tech)

> BIRS , Model reduction in continuum thermodynamics: Modeling, Analysis and Computation, September 17-20,2012

History of the underground fluid flow starts by Darcy with clean water supply

Stratigraphy and structural geology of the Paris basin [from Darcy, 1856]

This well, with upgrades made by Dupuit in the early 1850s, was one of the major municipal supplies of water for the SW side of Paris, along with the Perrier pumping station at Chaillot, which supplied water from the Seine

Comprehensive principles ….established by Darcy and Dupuit, by R.W. Ritzi, and P. Bobeck, Water resources research,,v44,2008.

Experimental Observation

Cause of Deviation is not Understood Fully

Bear, J., Dynamics of Fluids in Porous Media ,Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1972

Constitutive Generalized Forchheimer Equations

Constructive Generalized Forchheimer Equations

\n
$$
\vec{v}g(|\vec{v}|) = -K\nabla p
$$
\nAlgebraic polynomial

\n
$$
g(s) = a_0 + a_1 s^a + \dots + a_n s^a
$$
\nAlgebraic polynomial with positive coefficients

\nTwo term Forchheimer Law

\nPower Forchheimer Law

\n
$$
\vec{v} = -K\nabla p
$$
\nExponential form

\n
$$
\vec{v} = K^{-1}
$$
\nExponential form

\n
$$
\vec{v} = K^{-1}
$$
\n20

\n
$$
\vec{v} + c^* \left[\sqrt{(K' \vec{v}, \vec{v})} \right]^{-1} \vec{v} = -K\nabla p
$$
\n
$$
\nabla p = (p_1, \dots, p_k)
$$

$$
(2) \qquad \alpha \vec{v} + c^* \left[\sqrt{\left(\mathbf{K}^{\dagger} \vec{v}, \vec{v} \right)} \right]^{n} \vec{v} = -\mathbf{K} \nabla p
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{V} = \boldsymbol{V}
$$

$$
\nabla p = (p_{\scriptscriptstyle x_i},...,p_{\scriptscriptstyle x_i})
$$

Three term cubic Forchheimer Law

(1)

Prover Forchimler Law
\n
$$
\overline{C} = K^{-1}
$$
\n
$$
\overline{C} = \overline{C} \overline{C}
$$
\n
$$
\overline{C} = \overline{C}
$$
\n
$$
\overline{C} \overline{C}
$$
\n
$$
\overline{C}
$$
\n<math display="block</p>

E. Aulisa, L. Bloshanskaya, L. Hoang, A. I. , Analyses of Gen. Forchheimer Flows of Compressible fluid …, J. Math. Phys. 50, (2009).

Generalized Forchheimer Equation Nonlinear Darcy Equation

 $\alpha \vec{v} = -K \nabla p$

Darcy vector field

 $\vec{v} = -K(\eta(\nabla p))K\nabla p$

Generalized Nonlinear Darcy Vector Field

$$
\vec{v}(x,t) - \text{velocity} \qquad p(x,t) - \text{pressure}
$$

 $\eta(\nabla p) = (K\nabla p, \nabla p) -$ Bilinear form

Proposition. **For any algebraic polynomial** *g(s)* **exists** *G* **positive function such that , generalized nonlinear Darcy vector field (5) , solves generalized**

Forchheimer equation.

E. Aulisa, L. Bloshanskaya, L. Hoang, A. I. , Analysis of Gen. Forchheimer Flows of Compressible Fluid in the porous media…, J. Math. Phys. 50, (2009).

In case of two-terms Forchheimer

$$
K(\eta) = \frac{2}{\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta \sqrt{\eta}}}
$$

E. Aulisa, A. I. P. P. Valk´o, J. R. Walton, Mathematical Frame-Work For Productivity Index of The Well for Fast Forchheimer (non-Darcy) Flow in Porous Media. Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences, 19(8), 1241-1275., 2009

Governing equations

Momentum equation –Generalized Nonlinear Darcy Vector Field

omentum equation –Generalized Nonlinear Da

\n(5)

\n
$$
\vec{v} = -K(\eta(\nabla p))K\nabla p
$$

Continuity equation

$$
\text{continuity equation}
$$
\n
$$
\rho' p_{i} = -\rho \nabla \vec{v} - \rho' \vec{v} \nabla p
$$
\n(6)

Equation of state for slightly compressible fluids

$$
\rho' = \gamma^4 \rho
$$

Then (6) is reduced to

$$
p_{i} = -\gamma \nabla \vec{v} - \vec{v} \nabla p
$$

Initial Boundary Value Problem for Non-linear parabolic equation

 $(K(\eta(\nabla p))K\nabla p)$ $\left(\vec{v}(x,t),\vec{n}\right)$ $(\vec{v}(x,t),\vec{n})=0$ on Γ_e y value I Toblem for INOTE
arabolic equation
 $(\eta(\nabla p))K\nabla p)$ in the domain $\frac{d^2y}{dt} = \gamma \nabla (K(\eta))^2$
(t) = $\int_{\Gamma_*} (\vec{v}(x,t)),$ $\begin{aligned} \n\mathcal{L} &= \gamma \nabla \big(K(\eta(\nabla p)))\n\mathcal{L} &= \int_{\Gamma_{\mathbf{r}}} (\vec{v}(x, t), \vec{n})\n\mathcal{L}(x, t), \vec{n}) = 0 \text{ on } \\
\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, t) &= \int_{\mathbf{r}} (\vec{v}(x))\n\end{aligned}$ $\begin{aligned} \n\tau^{(t)}(t) &= \int_{\Gamma_{\nu}} (\vec{v}(x, t))) \vec{v}(x, t) \ \n\vec{v}(x, t), \vec{n}(t) &= 0 \ \n(x, 0) &= f(x) \n\end{aligned}$ *w x p K* $(\eta(\nabla p))K\nabla p$ in the domain *U t* $\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \gamma \nabla (K(\eta(\nabla p)))$
 $Q(t) = \int_{\Gamma_{\nu}} (\vec{v}(x,t), \vec{n}) ds$ $\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \gamma \nabla$
 $Q(t) = \int_{\Gamma_{\nu}} (x,t), \vec{n}$
 $Q(x,0) =$ ∂t
 $Q(t) = \int_{\Gamma_*} (\vec{v}(x, t), \vec{n}) dt$
 $p(x, 0) = f(x, t)$ ouridary value Problem
parabolic equatio $\overline{\Gamma}$ $=$ \int $\overline{\partial}$ boundary value Problem for ivor
parabolic equation
= $\gamma \nabla (K(\eta(\nabla p))K\nabla p)$ in the domain $\overline{\partial}$ $K(\eta(\nabla p))K\nabla p)$ in the do:
 $(x,t), \vec{n}$ ds_x
= 0 on Γ_e $p(x,0) = f(x)$

Structural Properties of degenerate

$$
\text{degenerate permeability } K
$$
\n
$$
\frac{C_2}{\left(1+\xi\right)^a} \le K\left(\xi\right) \le \frac{C_1}{\left(1+\xi\right)^a}, \quad a = \frac{\alpha_N}{1+\alpha_N}
$$
\n
$$
H(\xi) = \int_0^{\xi} K\left(s^{\frac{1}{12}}\right) ds \sim K\left(\xi\right) \xi^2
$$
\n
$$
\Phi(\vec{u}_{\cdot}, \vec{u}_{\cdot}) = (K\left(|\vec{u}_{\cdot}|\right)\vec{u}_{\cdot} - K\left(|\vec{u}_{\cdot}| \right)\vec{u}_{\cdot}, \vec{u}_{\cdot} - \vec{u}_{\cdot})
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\text{Weighted monotonicity: :}}{\int_U \Phi(\nabla p_{\cdot2}, \nabla p_{\cdot1}) dx \ge C_0 \left(1 + \left|\nabla p_{\cdot2}\right|_{L_{2-a}} \wedge \left|\nabla p_{\cdot1}\right|_{L_{2-a}}\right)^{-a} \left\|\nabla p_{\cdot2} - \nabla p_{\cdot1}\right\|^2}
$$
\n*E. Aulisa, L. Boloshanskaya, L. Hoang, A. L. Analysis of Gen. Fortheimer Flows of Compressible Fluid*

Weighted monotonicity: :

Weighted monotonicity:
\n
$$
\int_{U} \Phi(\nabla p_{2}, \nabla p_{1}) dx \geq C_{0} \left(1 + |\nabla p_{2}|_{L_{2-a}} \wedge |\nabla p_{1}|_{L_{2-a}}\right)^{-a} ||\nabla p_{2} - \nabla p_{1}||^{2}
$$

E. Aulisa, L. Bloshanskaya, L. Hoang, A. I. , Analysis of Gen. Forchheimer Flows of Compressible Fluid in the porous media…, J. Math. Phys. 50, (2009).

Time Invariant Solution. Auxiliary Problem

Time Invariant Solution. auxiliary Problem
\n(1)
$$
\left(\frac{p}{p}\right)_i = \gamma \nabla \left(K(\eta(\nabla p_x))K\nabla p_x\right)
$$

\n(2) $\frac{\int_{E} (\overrightarrow{v}(x,t),\vec{n}) ds_x = Q = const}{\left|(4)(\overrightarrow{v},\vec{n})\right|_{\Gamma_U} = 0}$
\n(3) $\frac{p}{v_{\nu}}|_{\Gamma_{v}} = -At + \phi_{\nu}(x)$
\n1s-2s) $-A = Q / |U| = \nabla \left(K(\eta(\nabla w))\nabla w\right)$
\n(3s) $\frac{w(x) = \phi_{\nu}(x) \text{ on } \Gamma_{\nu}}{w(x) = \phi_{\nu}(x) \text{ on } \Gamma_{\nu}}$
\n(4s) $\frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{v}} w(x) = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_{\nu}$
\n**Theorem.** Solution of IBVP exist and unique iff $p_x(x, 0) = w(x)$
\nAulisa, A. I. P. P. Valko, J. R. Walton, "Math. Frame-Work For PI of The Well for Fast Forbheimer (non-Darcy)
\nAulisa, L. B. Boshanskaya, A. I. Long-term dynamics for well productivity index for nonlinear flows, J. Math.

(1

E. Aulisa, A. I. P. P. Valko, J. R. Walton, "Math. Frame-Work For PI of The Well for Fast Forchheimer (non-Darcy) Flow in porous media", Mathematical Models & Methods in Applied Science, v.. 19, (2009), E. Aulisa, L. Bloshanskaya, A. I. Long-term dynamics for well productivity index for nonlinear flows , J. Math. Phys. 52 (2011)

Productivity Index - Basic Engineering Parameter

$$
Q(t) = \int_{\Gamma_{w}} (\vec{v}(x, t), \vec{n}) ds_x
$$

\n
$$
PDD(t) = \overline{p}_{\Gamma_{w}}(t) - \overline{p}_{U}(t)
$$

\n
$$
PSSure drawdown
$$

\n
$$
\overline{p}_s(t) = |S|^{-1} \int_{S} p(x, t) dx
$$

\n
$$
Average Pressure
$$

Productivity Index/ Diffusive Capacity

$$
V_s(t) = |S| \int_s P(x, t) dx
$$

\nProductivity Index/ Diffusive Capacity
\n
$$
J_K(p, \vec{v}, t) = J(t) = \frac{Q(t)}{PDD(t)}
$$

\nDefinition: Pseudo-Steady State (PSS) regime
\nIf $Q(t) = Q = const$ and $PDD(t) = const$

Definition : Pseudo-Steady State (PSS) regime

 (p , \vec{v} , t) *G* If $Q(t) = Q = const$ and $PDD(t) = const$
Consequently $J_{\alpha}(p, \vec{v}, t) =$

A. I., Khalmanova, D., Valk´o, P. P., and Walton, J. R., "On a Mathematical Model of the Productivity Index of a Well from Reservoir Engineering," SIAM J. Appl. Math. 65, 1952 (2005).

Pseudo-steady State Regime Exists Pseudo

<u>Finition</u>

Finition

Finition of the Callo

Finition of the Callo

The Callo Scallo

The Callo Scallo Scallo

The Callo Scallo Scallo Scallo Scallo

The Callo Scallo Scallo Scallo Scallo Scallo **PSeud

<u>p,(x,t)</u>**

<u>p,(x,t)</u>

<u>Replace</u>

Definition :

Is called pseudo-steady state solution

Pseudo-steady State Regime Exists

\n**Definition:**

\n
$$
\frac{Definition}{p_s(x,t)}
$$
 is called pseudo-steady state solution

\n**Theorem.** *PSS regime exists and is unique*

\n
$$
J_x(p_i, \vec{v}_i, t) = \frac{Q}{\phi_i - \vec{w}} = const,
$$
 here⁺ is integral average.

\n**Proof.**

\nIndeed, pressure function $p_s(x,t) = w(x) + At$ solves transient problem (1-3)

\nAnd corresponding pressure drawdown is equal to

\n
$$
PDD(t) = \overline{\phi}_0 - \overline{w} = const.
$$

\n**EMilisa, A. I. P. Value, J. R. Walton, "Math. Frame-Work For P1 of The Well for Fast ForChheimer (non-Darcy) Flow in powers to real products a Method is a Applied Science, v. 19, (2009), and (2009), E. Aulisa, L. Bloshanskays, A. L. Long-term dynamics for well productivity index for nonlinear (non-Darcy) Flow in the Algorithm 2. S. Math. Phys. 52 (2011), and (2011).**

Proof.

Indeed, pressure function $p_s(x,t) = w(x) + At$ *solves transient problem (1-3)*

And corresponding pressure drawdown is equal to

$$
PDD(t)=\overline{\phi}_{0}-\overline{w}=const
$$

E. Aulisa, A. I. P. P. Valko, J. R. Walton, "Math. Frame-Work For PI of The Well for Fast Forchheimer (non-Darcy) Flow in porous media", Mathematical Models & Methods in Applied Science, v.. 19, (2009),

Variational Formulation for Auxiliary Problem, Variational Interpretation for Diffusive Capacitance

Proposition 4 Equation

$$
-Q/|U| = div(K(|\nabla w|)\nabla w)
$$

Serves as Euler-Lagrange equation for functional

$$
\frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 4} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \
$$

 $\frac{1}{2}F'(\eta)\eta + F(\eta) = K(\eta)$ 2 $F'(\eta)\eta + F(\eta) = K(\eta)$

Theorem 5. Variational interpretation of diffusive capacitance

a) in linear Darcy case b) in case of Forchheimer

E. Aulisa, A. Cakmak, A. I. A. Solynin, "Variational principle and steady state invariant for non-linear hydrodynamic interactions in porous media Advances in Dynamical . Systems 14 (S2) (2007).

Gap Between Variational Interpretation in Linear and Non-linear Cases

Geometric Interpretation

Then function $\eta(|\nabla u|)$ *exists, such that* function $\eta(\nabla u|)$ exists, such $\nabla w = \sqrt{\eta(\nabla u|/|\nabla u|^2}\nabla u$

$$
\nabla w = \sqrt{\eta(\nabla u/\nabla u|^2} \nabla u
$$

M. Toda, M, A.I., A, Aulisa, E, "Geometric Frame-Work for Modeling Non-Linear Flows …", J. of Non-linear Anal. v.. 11, 3, 2010. E, Aulisa, A.I. , Toda, M. "Geom. Meth. in the Anal. of Non-linear…" Proc. of the AMS, Spectral Theory and Geometric Analysis,2011 Impact of the non-linearity on the deviation $J_{g, PSS}$ from $J_{\textit{Darcy}}$

E. Aulisa, L. Bloshanskaya, A. I. Long-term dynamics for well productivity index for nonlinear flows , J. Math. Phys. 52 (2011)

 a_1 / a_0

 $\frac{2}{a_0}$ / a_0 + a_1 / a_0

 $\ddot{}$

$$
R = a_1 / a_0
$$

Engineering Application - Skin Factor

$$
J_{Darcy} = \frac{2\pi / a_0}{\ln\frac{r_e}{r_w} - 3/4}, \quad \text{for} \quad r_e \gg r_w
$$

Routine empirical approach, skin factor obtained by matching

$$
J_{\text{Forch}} = \frac{2\pi / a_0}{\ln \frac{r_e}{r_w} - 3/4 + skin}, \quad \text{for} \quad r_e >> r_w
$$

Application of the mathematical framework for general Forchheimer polynomial

caption of the mathematical framework for general Forchh

\n
$$
J_{g,PSS} = \frac{1}{J_{Darcy}^{-1} + S_F^{-1}} \approx \frac{2\pi/a_0}{\ln\frac{r_e}{r_w} - 3/4 + 2\pi/a_0 S^{-1}}, \text{ for } r_e >> r_w
$$
\n
$$
S^{-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{a_j Q^{\alpha_j}}{(2\pi)^{\alpha_j+1} r_e^{2(\alpha_j+2)}} \int_0^{r_e} \frac{\left(r_e^2 - r^2\right)^{\alpha_j+2}}{r^{\alpha_j+1}} dr
$$

E. Aulisa, A. I., P. P. Valko, J. R. Walton, "A new method for evaluation the productivity index…", SPE, v.14, n., 2009 . E. Aulisa, L. Bloshanskaya, A. I. "Long-term dynamics for well productivity index for nonlinear flows ", J. Math. Phys. 52 (2011)

Important generalization of the idealized pseudo-steady state regime

Pseudo-steady State Regime - Attractor

Total $Flux_{\Gamma_*}^{\prime}$ $\left(\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & P_q \\ \hline \end{array} \right)$ Dirichlet *Satisfies simultaneously two conditions on the boundary total flux and Dirichlet (given pressure) Goal* Pseudo-steady State Regime -
 $(p_{s})_{i} = \gamma \nabla (K(\nabla p_{s}) \nabla p_{s})$, and initial D

Satisfies simultaneously two conditions on

total flux
 $\int_{K} (K(\nabla p_{s}|\partial p_{s}/\partial n) ds_{s} = Q(t) \longrightarrow P_{s}(x_{s})$
 $\int_{K} R_{s} \left[(K(\nabla p_{s}|\partial p_{s}/\partial n) ds_{s}$ **Pseudo-steady State Regime - Attractor**
 $(p,) = \gamma \nabla (K(\nabla p,)\nabla p,)$, and initial Data $p_1(x, 0) = w(x)$

Satisfies simultaneously two conditions on the boundary

total flux

fotal flux
 $\int (K(|\nabla p, |\partial p, / \partial n) ds) = Q(t)$
 $\int p_2$ **Figure - Attractor**
 $\left(\frac{p}{p}\right)_i = \gamma \nabla \left(K(\nabla p_i)\nabla p_i\right)$, and initial Data $p_x(x,0) = w(x)$

Satisfies simultaneously two conditions on the boundary

total flux
 $\frac{\int_{\mathcal{X}} \left(K(\nabla p_i|\partial p_j/\partial n)ds\right) = Q(t) \cdot \sum_{i} p_i(x,t) = -At + \phi_i(x)$ e - Attractor

Data $p_s(x,0) = w(x)$

on the boundary

Dirichlet (given pressure)
 $(x,t) = -At + \phi_s(x)$ on Γ_s
 $p_{\lambda}|_{\Gamma_s} = -At + \phi(x,t)$
 $\phi(x,t) \Rightarrow \phi_s(x)$
 $\overrightarrow{v}_s, t) \Rightarrow J_s(p_s, \overrightarrow{v}_s, t) = const$ *i* **Data** $p_s(x, 0) = w(x)$
 s on the boundary
 Dirichlet (given pressure)
 $(x,t) = -At + \phi_0(x)$ on Γ_*
 $p_{A|\Gamma_*} = -At + \phi(x,t)$
 $\phi(x,t) \Rightarrow \phi_0(x)$ Pseudo-steady State Regime - Attractor

(*x*), \overline{p} , \overline **P**Seudo-steady State Regime - At p_i , $p_j = \gamma \nabla (K(\nabla p_j) \nabla p_j)$, and initial Data
 Satisfies simultaneously two conditions on the total flux
 $K(K(\nabla p_j|\partial p_j/\partial n))ds_x = Q(t)$ $\sum_{k} p_k(x,t) = \sqrt{\frac{[(K(\nabla p_j|\partial p_j/\partial n)]ds_x = Q(t) \rightarrow Q]}{[\nabla$ $\texttt{seudo-steady State}\ \nabla(K(\nabla p\,)\nabla p\,) , \text{ and}\ \n\textit{distics simultaneously two}\ \n\textit{all flux}\ \n\sqrt{\frac{\partial p}{\partial n} \frac{\partial n}{\partial s_x} = Q(t)} \ \n\textit{or} \ \n\sqrt{\frac{\partial p}{\partial n} \frac{\partial n}{\partial s_x} = Q(t) \rightarrow Q}$ $\int \int (K(\nabla p_s|\partial p_s/\partial n)ds_x = Q(t))$ Pseudo-steady State Regime - Attractor
 p_{x}), = $\gamma \nabla (K(\nabla p_{x})\nabla p_{x})$, and initial Data $p_{x}(x,0) = w(x)$

Satisfies simultaneously two conditions on the boundary

total flux
 $\frac{\int (K(\nabla p_{x}|\partial p_{x}/\partial n)ds_{x} = Q(t)) \sum p_{x}(x$ 0 $\frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{x}, t \right)}$
 $\frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{x}, t \right)}$
 $\frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{x}, t \right)}$
 $\frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{x}, t \right)}$ Attractor

ata $p_s(x,0) = w(x)$

the boundary

Dirichlet (given pressure)
 t) = $-At + \phi_s(x)$ on Γ_s
 $\sqrt{A_{\Gamma_s}} = -At + \phi(x,t)$
 $(x,t) \Rightarrow \phi_s(x)$
 $\Rightarrow J_s(p_s, \vec{v}, t) = const$ $A \mid \Gamma_w$ **Figure** *T H* - Attractor
 p_s(*x*,0) = *w*(*x*)
 n the boundary
 Dirichlet (given pressure)
 *p_A*_{*x*,*t*) = -*At* + ϕ (*x*) on Γ ,
 $p_{A}|_{r_{w}} = -At + \phi$ (*x,t*)
 $\phi(x,t) \Rightarrow \phi$ _{*s*}(*x*)
 x)
 x) \Rightarrow *J_{<i>k*}(*p_,v^{<i>z*},*}* Attractor

ta $p_s(x,0) = w(x)$

the boundary

irichlet (given pressure)
 $\overline{p_s = -At + \phi_s(x) \text{ on } \Gamma_s}$
 $\overline{r_s = -At + \phi(x,t)}$
 $\overline{x,t} \Rightarrow \phi_s(x)$
 $\Rightarrow J_s(p_s, \vec{v}_s, t) = const$ $\lambda_{\Gamma_w} = -At + \phi(x,t)$ **Data** $p_s(x,0) = w(x)$

Data $p_s(x,0) = w(x)$

Dirichlet (given pressure)
 $x,t) = -At + \phi_s(x)$ on Γ_s
 $\overline{p_s|_{\Gamma_s}} = -At + \phi(x,t)$
 $\phi(x,t) \Rightarrow \phi_s(x)$
 $\overline{y,t} = const$ tractor
 $p_s(x,0) = w(x)$

boundary

hlet (given pressure)
 $-At + \phi_s(x)$ on Γ_s
 $=-At + \phi(x,t)$
 t) $\Rightarrow \phi_s(x)$
 $J_x(p_s, \vec{v}_s, t) = const$ $\Rightarrow \phi(x)$ Pseudo-steady State Regime - Attra
 $\overline{y} = \gamma \nabla (K(\nabla p_y)\nabla p_y)$, and initial Data

Satisfies simultaneously two conditions on the botal

flux
 $K(\nabla p_x|\partial p_x/\partial n)ds_x = Q(t)$
 $\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline p_x(x,t) = -i\hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \$ Pseudo-steady State Regime - Attractor
 w y $(y, y) = y \nabla (K(\nabla p_y)\nabla p_y)$, and initial Data $p_x(x, 0) = w(x)$
 *satisfies simultaneously two conditions on the boundary

<i>wither the condition pressure)*
 $K(\nabla p_x|\partial p_x/\partial n)dx = Q(t$ Pseudo-steady State Regime - Attractor

(*)*, $= \gamma \nabla (K(\nabla p_i)\nabla p_i)$, and initial Data $p_i(x,0) = w(x)$

Satisfies simultaneously two conditions on the boundary

total flux
 $(K(\nabla p_i|\partial p_i/\partial n))ds = Q(t)$
 $\downarrow P_i(x,t) = -At + \phi_i(x)$ on $\$

Asymptotic and structural stability of the Diffusive capacity with respect to boundary Data

Asymptotic and structural stability of the Diffusive capacity with respect to boundary Data	
Theorem. Dirichlet BC Assume degree condition	$\text{deg}(g) \leq \frac{4}{n-2}$
$H: t^k \phi'(t) _{W^{12}(\Gamma_w)}, t^k \Delta_{\phi}(t) _{W^{12}(\Gamma_w)} \Rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty, \text{ for some } k$	
Then	$J_k(p_*, \vec{v}_*, t) \Rightarrow J_k(p_*, \vec{v}_*, t) = const$
Convergence follows from the inequality	$ Q(t) - Q = \left \int_{\mathcal{U}} p_i ds - Q \right \leq C q _{L_2}$
and asymptotical regularity with respect to time derivative: Theorem on "asymptotical regularity". If H condition is satisfied then Proof: $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathcal{U}} q^2 dx \leq -(1-a) \int_{\mathcal{U}} K(\nabla p) \nabla q ^2 dx + \int_{\mathcal{U}} \Psi_u q dx + C \int_{\mathcal{U}} \nabla \Psi_t ^2 dx$	
Homg, A.I." Stuctural stab. of gen. Forchheimer equations for compressible fluids in porous media", Nonlinearity, v.24, 1, 2011 Holang, A.I." Qualitative study of Gen. Forchheimer flow with flux BC "Advances in Differential Equations, #5-6, 2012 Aulies, L. Bloshanskaya, A. I "Long-term dynamics for well productivity index for nonlinear flows", J. Math. Phys. 52 (2011)	

L.Hoang, A.I." Structural stab. of gen. Forchheimer equations for compressible fluids in porous media", Nonlinearity, v.24, 1 , 2011 L.Hoang, A.I." Qualitative study of Gen. Forchheimer flow with flux BC " Advances in Differential Equations, # 5-6, 2012 E. Aulisa, L. Bloshanskaya, A. I. "Long-term dynamics for well productivity index for nonlinear flows ", J. Math. Phys. 52 (2011)

In case of total Flux condition solution is not unique

Class of the traces on the boundary is introduced in terms of the deviation from the averages on the boundary

$$
p(x,t)|_{\Gamma} = \psi_0(x,t)
$$

 $\gamma(t) = \overline{\psi}_0(x,t)$

 $\psi(x,t) = \psi_0(x,t) - \gamma(t)$

Then the trace

$$
p(x,t)|_{\Gamma} = \psi(x,t) + \gamma(t)
$$

Average of the trace on the boundary

 $(\phi(x),Q)$ verage of the trace on the boundary
Assume $\psi(x, t)$ is stabilising at time infinity to some Assume $\psi(x,t)$ is stabilising at time infinity t
and total flux $Q(t)$ is stabilising to constant Q . and total flux $Q(t)$ is stabilising to constant Q .
Then pair $(\phi(x), Q)$ generates a *PSS* problem, with time independent diffusive capacity *the trace on the boundary*
x,*t*) is stabilising at time infinity to some $\phi(x)$ *t*) is stabilising at time infinity $Q(t)$ is stabilising to constant Q of the trace on the boundary
 $\psi(x,t)$ is stabilising at time infinity to some $\phi(x)$ ϕ ((x) $Q(t)$ is stable.
 $(Q(t))$ is stable.

 $Q(t)$

)
m is true under degree constra
ation from the average:
 $\overline{(p_{_q}, \vec{v}_{_q},t)} \Rightarrow J_{_K}(p_{_s}, \vec{v}_{_s},t)$ $\begin{CD} \gamma(t) @>>> \gamma(t) \ \text{orem is true under degree constraint and some} @>>> \gamma(\text{invariant}) \ \text{projection from the average:} \ \boldsymbol{J}_{_K}(p_{_q}, \vec{v}_{_q}, t) \Longrightarrow \boldsymbol{J}_{_K}(p_{_s}, \vec{v}_{_s}, t) = const \ \boldsymbol{J}_{_K}(p_{_s}, \vec{v}_{_s}, t) @>>> \mathcal{J}_{_K}(p_{_s}, \vec{v}_{_s}, t) \end{CD}$ *The following Theorem is true under degree constraint and some assumptions on deviation from the average:* $\Psi_0(x,t)$

Theorem

$$
J_{\kappa}(p_{q}, \vec{v}_{q}, t) \Rightarrow J_{\kappa}(p_{s}, \vec{v}_{s}, t) = const
$$

No explicit conditions on $\gamma(t)$!
ata are split as $f(x,t)+r(t)$, and $f(x,t) \rightarrow f_{0}(x)$, and total flux $Q(t) \rightarrow Q$
A.1. (The time asymptotic of non-Darcy flows controlled by total flux. Journal of

No explicite conditions on $\gamma(t)$!

For example if Dirchlet Data are split as $f(x,t) + r(t)$, and $f(x,t) \rightarrow f_0$

Aulisa, E., Bloshanskaya, L., A.I. (The time asymptotic of non-Darcy flows controlled by total flux, Journal of Mathematical Science, New York, Springer, Vol. 184, No. 4, July, 2012, 399-430

Obtained results reveal several interesting observations, we list some of them:

- Generalized non-linear potential flows can be used as an effective framework to study non-linear flows in porous media.
- PSS regime serves as a global attractor for a class of IBVP with arbitral initial pressure distribution, and diffusive capacitance characterizes the deviation between regimes of production
- Diffusive capacity can be used as an criteria in up scaling procedure.
- Degree condition is not essential for long –time dynamics IBVP for Forchheimer flow with smooth boundary data.

Homogenization for Horizontally stratified reservoir

$$
w = 0; w = 0
$$
\n
$$
\frac{Q}{|U|} \phi(x) = \nabla \left(K(x, \nabla w) \nabla w \right) \quad \frac{Q}{|U|} \phi^*(x) = \nabla \left(K^*(\nabla w^*) \nabla w^* \right)
$$
\n
$$
\frac{Q}{|U|} \phi^*(x) = \nabla \left(K^*(\nabla w^*) \nabla w^* \right)
$$
\n
$$
\frac{Q}{|U|} \phi^*(x) = \nabla \left(K^*(\nabla w^*) \nabla w^* \right)
$$
\n
$$
\frac{Q}{|U|} \phi^*(x) = \nabla \left(K^*(\nabla w^*) \nabla w^* \right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\mathbf{y}; w^* &= \overrightarrow{\mathbf{0}} \\
\mathbf{y}; w^* &= \overrightarrow{\mathbf{0}} \\
\mathbf{y} &= \nabla \left(K(x, \nabla w) \nabla w \right) \\
\mathbf{y} &= \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{|\mathbf{U}|} \phi^*(x) = \nabla \left(K^*(\nabla w^*) \nabla w^* \right) \\
\mathbf{y} &= \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{|\mathbf{U}|} \phi^*(x) = \nabla \left(K^*(\nabla w^*) \nabla w^* \right) \\
\mathbf{y}^* &= \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{|\mathbf{U}|} \frac{\phi^*}{|\mathbf{U}|} \phi \phi^* \\
\mathbf{y}^* &= \frac{1}{|\mathbf{U}|} \int_{\mathbf{U}} \phi \phi \mathbf{x}\n\end{aligned}
$$