http://more.karlin.mff.cuni.cz Preprint: MORE/2017/14 http://more.karlin.mff.cuni.cz

Preprint: MORE/2017/14

THERMODYNAMICS OF VISCOELASTIC RATE-TYPE FLUIDS WITH STRESS DIFFUSION

JOSEF MÁLEK, VÍT PRŮŠA, TOMÁŠ SKŘIVAN, AND ENDRE SÜLI

Abstract. We propose thermodynamically consistent models for viscoelastic fluids with a stress diffusion term. In particular, we derive variants of compressible/incompressible Maxwell/Oldroyd-B models with a stress diffusion term in the evolution equation for the extra stress tensor. It is shown that the stress diffusion term can be interpreted either as a consequence of a nonlocal energy storage mechanism or as a consequence of a nonlocal entropy production mechanism. Different interpretations of the stress diffusion mechanism lead to different evolution equations for the temperature. The derived models open up the possibility to study fully coupled thermomechanical problems involving viscoelastic rate type fluids with stress diffusion.

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION

Standard models for viscoelastic fluids such as the Oldroyd-B model, see Oldroyd (1950), or the Johnson–Segalman model, see Johnson and Segalman (1977), are frequently modified by the addition of a term, including second-order spatial derivatives of the extra stress tensor **S**, to the evolution equation for the extra stress tensor **S**. Such a term usually takes the form $\Delta \mathbb{S}$, where Δ denotes the Laplace operator, and is referred to as the *stress diffusion* term.

The presence of the stress diffusion term in the governing equations is important for various reasons. First, its improves, to a certain extent, qualitative mathematical properties of the governing equations, see for example El-Kareh and Leal (1989), Barrett and Boyaval (2011) or Chupin and Martin (2015). Second, the presence of the diffusive term has a significant impact on the dynamical behaviour predicted by the given system of governing equations. This is, for example, exploited in the modelling of the shear banding phenomenon, see the reviews by Cates and Fielding (2006), Fielding (2007), Dhont and

Date: September 14, 2017.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 76A05, 76A10, 74A15.

Key words and phrases. stress diffusion, viscoelasticity, nonlocal entropy production, nonlocal energy storage, heat equation.

Josef Málek, Vít Průša and Endre Süli acknowledge the support of the Project LL1202 in the programme ERC-CZ funded by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic.

Briels (2008), Olmsted (2008), Subbotin et al. (2011), Fardin et al. (2012), Fardin et al. (2015) and Divoux et al. (2016), to name a few.

The presence of the diffusive term can be justified by appealing to a kinetic-theory-based approach to the rheology of dilute polymer solutions. If the classical kinetic-theory-based approach is employed, and if the inhomogeneities of the velocity and stress fields are carefully taken into the account, then the diffusive term naturally appears in the evolution equation for the extra stress tensor **S**, see for example El-Kareh and Leal (1989) and Bhave et al. (1991). The weakness of the existing kinetic-theory-based approaches is that they do not provide a full set of mutually consistent governing equations for the fluid of interest. Indeed, the focus is solely on the governing equations for the *mechanical quantities*, while the evolution equation for the temperature is not formulated, or even thought of.

The first drawback of the focus on mechanical aspects is that the *thermodynamical consistency* of the models is not justified. In particular, the consistency of the model with the second law of thermodynamics remains questionable. Clearly, the consistency with the second law of thermodynamics can not be analysed without the complete characterisation of the energy transfers in the fluid. Since the energy of a viscoelastic fluid can take the form of the kinetic energy, the thermal energy and the energy accumulated in the "elastic" part of the fluid, the appropriate description of the energy transfer mechanisms is conceptually a difficult task. In the case of viscoelastic rate-type fluids with stress diffusion, the energy transfer mechanisms are anticipated to be even more complex due to the presence of the stress diffusion term. However, the impact of the stress diffusion term on the energy transfer mechanisms has not yet been analysed.

The second drawback of the prevailing focus on mechanical aspects is the inability to deal with viscoelastic rate-type fluids with temperature-dependent material coefficients. This is a serious drawback, since the response of most viscoelastic ratetype fluids is strongly temperature-dependent. In particular, the stress diffusion coefficient can depend on the temperature, see for example Mohammadigoushki and Muller (2016). If the material coefficients in the mechanical part of the system depend on temperature, then the correct prediction of the values of the mechanical quantities requires one to formulate an evolution equation for the temperature. Since the interplay between the thermal energy and other forms of the energy in a fluid is rather complex, the temperature evolution equation is expected to be markedly different from the standard heat equation used in the case of a compressible/incompressible Navier–Stokes–Fourier fluid. However, the correct temperature evolution equation has not yet been formulated for viscoelastic rate-type fluids with stress diffusion.

In what follows we propose a phenomenological thermodynamical framework for Maxwell/Oldroyd-B type viscoelastic models with a stress diffusion term in the evolution equation for the extra stress tensor. Both compressible and incompressible variants of the models are considered, and the stress diffusion coefficient is considered to be a temperature-dependent quantity. Using this thermodynamical framework, we derive a complete set of governing equations in the full thermomechanical setting. In particular, we formulate the corresponding temperature evolution equation, and we show that it is compatible with the constitutive relations for the mechanical quantities. Further the whole system of governing equations is shown to be compatible with the second law of thermodynamics.

The stress diffusion term is interpreted in two ways: either as a consequence of a *nonlocal energy storage mechanism*, or as a consequence of a nonlocal entropy production mechanism. These different interpretations of the stress diffusion mechanism lead to different evolution equations for the temperature. The derived models open up the possibility to study fully coupled thermomechanical problems involving viscoelastic rate-type fluids with stress diffusion terms.

2. Phenomenological approach to rate-type viscoelastic models

Phenomenological non-equilibrium thermodynamics offers plenty of approaches for the derivation of thermodynamically consistent rate-type viscoelastic models, see for example Leonov (1976), Grmela and Carreau (1987), Mattos (1998), Wapperom and Hulsen (1998) or Dressler et al. (1999), to name a few. The approach that is used below conceptually follows the approach that was introduced by Rajagopal and Srinivasa (2000) and Rajagopal and Srinivasa (2004), and was later fruitfully followed in other works, see for example Rao and Rajagopal (2002), Kannan et al. (2002) and Málek et al. (2015a). The advantages of this approach are that it transparently handles the incompressibility constraint and that it works exclusively on the phenomenological level.

The approach is based on the idea that a material is fully characterised by the way it stores the energy and produces the entropy, which is an idea that was, in a similar form¹, articulated even earlier, see Ziegler and Wehrli (1987). The first advantage of such an approach is that the energy storage and production mechanisms are specified in terms of two scalar quantities, the specific Helmholtz free energy ψ and the entropy production ξ , say. The complex relations between the tensorial quantities such as the Cauchy stress tensor **T** and the symmetric part of the velocity gradient **D** then follow from the choice of the formula for the energy and entropy production.

The other ingredient of the approach by Rajagopal and Srinivasa (2000) is the concept of evolving natural configuration. In the case of viscoelastic fluids this concept in fact reflects the interpretation of the viscoelastic response as a composition of a viscous (dissipative) and an elastic (nondissipative) response. In a sense, this concept can be seen as an extensive generalisation of the well known one-dimensional spring-dashpot analogues, see for example Wineman and Rajagopal (2000), to a fully nonlinear three-dimensional setting.

The approach by Rajagopal and Srinivasa (2000) has been successfully used in the derivation of the classical Maxwell and Oldroyd-B viscoelastic models, as well as in the derivation of advanced rate-type viscoelastic models for complex substances such as asphalt, see for example Krishnan and Rajagopal (2004) or Málek et al. (2015b). In what follows we deviate from the approach by Rajagopal and Srinivasa (2000) in two ways.

¹See Rajagopal and Srinivasa (2004) and Rajagopal and Srinivasa (2008) for comments on the relation of the current procedure to that suggested by Ziegler and Wehrli (1987).

$$
\rho \frac{\mathrm{d}\eta}{\mathrm{d}t} + \mathrm{div} \, \mathbf{j}_{\eta} = \xi,\tag{2.1}
$$

see Section 5 for details, is exploited not only in the derivation of the thermodynamically compatible constitutive relations, but also in the formulation of the evolution equation for the temperature. Indeed, once the entropy production ξ is known, the evolution equation for the temperature is easy to obtain. It suffices to realise that the entropy can be obtained by the differentiation of the free energy with respect to the temperature, and use the explicit formula for the free energy. The application of the chain rule then in fact converts (2.1) into an evolution equation for the temperature. This modification of the original procedure by Rajagopal and Srinivasa (2000) basically follows the subsequent works by Rao and Rajagopal (2002) , Kannan et al. (2002) , Kannan and Rajagopal (2004) , Průša and Rajagopal (2013) and especially Hron et al. (2016) .

Second, the Helmholtz free energy ψ that characterises the energy storage mechanisms may in our case include a *higher* order gradient of the tensor $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$. (The tensor $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ is the left Cauchy–Green tensor $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ associated with the elastic part of the total mechanical response of the fluid. See Section 3 for the definition of $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$.) The inclusion of a higher gradient into the Helmholtz free energy is a common practice in the theory of elasticity, see for example Eringen (2002) and the newer contributions by Fried and Gurtin (2006) , Polizzotto (2012) , Javili et al. (2013) , Borino and Polizzotto (2014) and Silhavý (2016), and references therein. Note, however, that the approach reported below avoids the usage of additional concepts such as hyperstress, which is an important notion in higher-order gradient theories of elasticity.

3. Kinematics of the evolving natural configuration

Let us apply the proposed phenomenological approach in the case of Maxwell/Oldroyd-B type models. In order to do so, we need to investigate the underlying kinematics 2 that is motivated by a one-dimensional spring-dashpot model for the behaviour of a Maxwell type viscoelastic fluid, see for example Wineman and Rajagopal (2000). The deformation from the initial configuration to the current configuration is virtually split into the deformation of the natural configuration and the instantaneous elastic deformation from the natural configuration to the current configuration, see Figure 1. The evolution of the natural configuration is understood as an entropy producing process, while the energy storage ability is attributed to the elastic deformation from the natural configuration to the current configuration, see Rajagopal and Srinivasa (2000) and also Průša and Rajagopal (2013) and Málek and Průša (2017) for details.

FIGURE 1. Viscoelastic fluid – kinematics.

If the total deformation is seen as a composition of the two deformations, then the total deformation gradient **F** can be written as

$$
\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \mathbb{G},\tag{3.1}
$$

where $\mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ and $\mathbb G$ are the deformation gradients of the deformation from the reference to the natural configuration and the deformation from the natural configuration to the current configuration. The standard relation $\frac{dF}{dt} = L\mathbb{F}$ between the spatial velocity gradient $\mathbb{L} =_{def} \nabla v$ and the deformation gradient \mathbb{F} , then motivates the introduction of new tensorial quantities $\mathbb{L}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ and $\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ defined as

$$
\mathbb{L}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} =_{\text{def}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{G}}{\mathrm{d}t} \mathbb{G}^{-1}, \qquad \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} =_{\text{def}} \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbb{L}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \mathbb{L}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{T} \right). \tag{3.2}
$$

Using (3.1) and the definition of $\mathbb{L}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$, the material time derivative of $\mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ can be expressed as

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathbb{LF}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\mathbb{L}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}.\tag{3.3}
$$

Further, the material time derivative of the left Cauchy–Green tensor $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} =_{\text{def}} \mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{\intercal}$ associated with the instantaneous elastic (non-dissipative) response then reads

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathbb{L}\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\mathbb{L}^{\top} - 2\mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{\top}.
$$
\n(3.4)

 2 Most of the calculations and algebraic manipulations used in this section are the same as in Málek et al. (2015a) and Hron et al. (2016) where the isothermal and non-isothermal Maxwell/Oldroyd-B models were analysed, respectively. Consequently, we comment in depth only on the results that are specific to the case of models with stress diffusion. The reader interested in the results for standard Maxwell/Oldroyd-B models is referred to Málek et al. (2015a) and Hron et al. (2016). In what follows the symbol $\frac{d}{dt}$ denotes the material time derivative, $\frac{d}{dt} =_{\text{def}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \bullet \nabla$, where v is the Eulerian velocity field.

Preprint: MORE/2017/14

Note that the last formula reduces, using the definition of the upper convected derivative, $\check{\mathbb{A}} =_{def} \frac{d\mathbb{A}}{dt} - \mathbb{L}\mathbb{A} - \mathbb{A}\mathbb{L}^{\top}$, to the formula

$$
\frac{\nabla}{\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}} = -2\mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{\top}.
$$
\n(3.5)

Further, using the formula for the time derivative of $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}},$ one can show that

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} = 2 \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \mathbb{D} - 2 \mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}},
$$
\n(3.6a)

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\ln \left[\det \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right] \right) = 2 \mathbb{I} : \mathbb{D} - 2 \mathbb{I} : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}},\tag{3.6b}
$$

where $A : B =_{def} Tr(A B^T)$ denotes the standard scalar product on the space of matrices. The symbol $\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} =_{def} \mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{\dagger} \mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ denotes the right Cauchy–Green tensor associated with the non-dissipative response. Further, we see that the material time derivative of the gradient term $|\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}|$ reads

$$
\frac{d}{dt} |\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}|^2 = 2 \operatorname{div} \left[\left[\nabla \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right] \frac{d}{dt} \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right] - 2 \left[\Delta \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right] \frac{d}{dt} \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) - 2 \left[\nabla \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \otimes \nabla \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right] : \mathbb{D}. \quad (3.6c)
$$

The reason for writing the time derivative in the form shown in (3.6c) will become clear later on, see Section 5.

In principle, the aim is to use the identity $\nabla \phi \bullet \nabla \psi = \text{div} [(\nabla \phi) \psi] - (\Delta \phi) \psi$ and move all the gradients to one of the quantities ψ and ϕ at the expense of adding a flux term. The manipulation is loosely motivated by an analogous manipulation used by Heida and Málek (2010) in the thermodynamics-based derivation of the constitutive relations for compressible Korteweg type fluids.

4. Helmholtz free energy

Let us now make the first step in the thermodynamical procedure by specifying the Helmholtz free energy of the material of interest. Naturally, the non-dissipative (elastic) part of the response should somehow enter into the formula for the Helmholtz free energy. The quantity that characterises the non-dissipative response is $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$. The reason is that the energy storage ability is in finite elasticity theory described in terms of the left Cauchy–Green tensor **B** =_{def} **FF**^T. In our case, however, only a part of the total deformation gradient **F** is attributed to a non-dissipative/elastic response. Consequently, only $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} =_{\text{def}} \mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{\mathsf{T}}$, rather than \mathbb{B} , plays the role of an additional variable in the formula for the Helmholtz free energy.

If one deals with a compressible/incompressible viscoelastic fluid, the following *ansatz* for the Helmholtz free energy ψ ,

$$
\psi =_{\text{def}} \widetilde{\psi}(\theta, \rho) + \frac{\mu}{2\rho} \left(\text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - 3 - \ln \det \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right),\tag{4.1}
$$

where μ is a constant and θ denotes the temperature, is known to generate variants of the compressible/incompressible Maxwell/Oldroyd-B type models, see for example Málek et al. (2015a). (In the incompressible case the density ρ is a constant.) The deviation from the standard thermodynamical procedure that leads to the Maxwell/Oldroyd-B viscoelastic model is the possibility of the presence of the *gradient* of $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ in the *ansatz* for the Helmholtz free energy. As we have already noted, the inclusion of the higher deformation gradient is motivated by the same idea as in the theory of finite elasticity.

Consequently, we consider two variants of the *ansatz* for the Helmholtz free energy, namely

$$
\psi =_{\text{def}} \widetilde{\psi}(\theta, \rho) + \frac{\mu}{2\rho} \left(\text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - 3 - \ln \det \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) + \frac{\widetilde{\mu}(\theta)}{2\rho} \left| \nabla \, \text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right|^2, \tag{A}
$$

$$
\psi =_{\text{def}} \widetilde{\psi}(\theta, \rho) + \frac{\mu}{2\rho} \left(\text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - 3 - \ln \det \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right),\tag{B}
$$

where μ is a constant and $\tilde{\mu}$ is a function of the temperature θ . The material parameter $\tilde{\mu}$ is referred to as the stress diffusion coefficient. Note that, in both cases, the ansatz for the free energy has the form

$$
\psi = \widetilde{\psi}(\theta, \rho) + \frac{1}{\rho} \widetilde{\widetilde{\psi}}(\theta, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}, \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}).
$$
\n(4.3)

As we shall see later, variant (A) indeed leads to a viscoelastic rate-type model with a *stress diffusion term*, see Section 7. In this case the stress diffusion term in the evolution equation for the extra stress tensor is a consequence of the presence of the additional term $|\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}|^2$ in the Helmholtz free energy *ansatz*. In this sense, the stress diffusion term is interpreted as a consequence of a non-standard energy storage mechanism in the fluid of interest.

On the other hand variant (B) is identical to the Helmholtz free energy ansatz for the standard Maxwell/Oldroyd-B fluid. In this case the stress diffusion term in the evolution equation for the extra stress tensor is a consequence of the presence of an additional term in the entropy production, see Section 8. In this sense, the stress diffusion term is not interpreted as a consequence of a non-standard energy storage mechanism but rather as a consequence of a non-standard entropy production mechanism .

Naturally, the coefficient μ can also be taken to be temperature-dependent, but we will, for the sake of simplicity of the presentation, consider it to be a constant. The reader interested in the impact of a temperature-dependent coefficient μ on the dynamics of viscoelastic fluids without stress diffusion is referred to Hron et al. (2016). The methods presented in Hron et al. (2016) can be, if necessary, applied even in the case of fluids with stress diffusion.

5. Generic evolution equation for the entropy

The generic evolution equation for the specific internal energy e in a single continuous medium reads

$$
\rho \frac{\mathrm{d}e}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathbb{T} : \mathbb{L} - \mathrm{div} \, \mathbf{j}_e. \tag{5.1}
$$

This equation can be exploited in the derivation of the evolution equation for the entropy. Indeed, if the energetic equation of state is given in the form

$$
e = e(\eta, y_1, \dots, y_n),\tag{5.2}
$$

where η denotes the entropy and the symbols $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^n$ denote other variables such as the density, then the definition of the temperature $\theta =_{def} \frac{\partial e}{\partial \eta}(\eta, y_1, \dots, y_n)$, (5.1), and the chain rule immediately lead to the evolution equation for the entropy. However, we do not follow this path. The energetic equation of state (5.2) is inconvenient from the practical point of view, since it includes the *entropy* as a variable.

From the practical point of view one prefers to work with the specific Helmholtz free energy ψ instead of the specific internal energy e. The reason is that the specific Helmholtz free energy ψ is a function of the *temperature* θ and other variables. The Helmholtz free energy is defined, see Callen (1985), as the Legendre transform of internal energy with respect to the entropy

> $\psi(\theta, y_1, \ldots, y_n) = e(\eta(\theta, y_1, \ldots, y_n), y_1, \ldots, y_n) - \theta \eta(\theta, y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ (5.3)

where $\eta(\theta, y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ is a function obtained by solving the equation $\theta = \frac{\partial e}{\partial \eta}(\eta, y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ for the entropy. (Recall that (5.3) implies the standard thermodynamical identity $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta}(\theta, y_1, \dots, y_n) = -\eta(\theta, y_1, \dots, y_n)$.) Taking the time derivative of (5.3) and using the chain rule then yields a formula for the time derivative of the internal energy e in terms of the partial derivatives of the Helmholtz free energy ψ and the time derivative of the entropy η and other variables

$$
\frac{de}{dt} = \theta \frac{d\eta}{dt} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y_1} \frac{dy_1}{dt} + \dots + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y_n} \frac{dy_n}{dt}.
$$
\n(5.4)

Using this formula on the left-hand side of (5.1) leads to the evolution equation for the entropy η . In particular, if the Helmholtz free energy is given as $\psi = \psi\left(\theta, \rho, \text{Tr } \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}, \ln \det \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}, |\nabla \text{Tr } \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}|^2\right)$, then one gets

$$
\rho \theta \frac{d\eta}{dt} = -\rho \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \rho} \frac{d\rho}{dt} - \rho \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}} \frac{d\text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}}{dt} - \rho \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \ln \left[\det \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right]} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\ln \left[\det \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right] \right) - \rho \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \left| \nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right|^2} \frac{d}{dt} \left| \nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right|^2 + \mathbb{I} : \mathbb{L} - \text{div} \, j_e, \quad (5.5)
$$

Now we are in a position to exploit the evolution equations for ρ and $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$. The balance of mass equation,

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho}{\mathrm{d}t} + \rho \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0,\tag{5.6}
$$

allows us to substitute for $\frac{d\rho}{dt}$. Concerning the time derivatives of the left Cauchy–Green tensor $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ the kinematical considerations imply fomulae (3.6), which allow us to substitute for the remaining time derivatives on the right-hand side of (5.5) . The first term on the right-hand side of (5.5) can be, thanks to (5.6) , rewritten as

$$
-\rho \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \rho} \frac{d\rho}{dt} = \rho^2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \rho} \text{div } \boldsymbol{v},\tag{5.7}
$$

which upon denoting

$$
p_{\rm th}^{\rm NSE} =_{\rm def} \rho^2 \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}}{\partial \rho},\tag{5.8a}
$$

$$
p_{\rm th}^{\rm dM} =_{\rm def} \rho^2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \rho},\tag{5.8b}
$$

yields $p_{\text{th}}^{\text{dM}} = p_{\text{th}}^{\text{NSE}} - \widetilde{\psi}$ and

$$
-\rho \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \rho} \frac{d\rho}{dt} = p_{\text{th}}^{\text{dM}} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v}.
$$
\n(5.9)

Apparently, the definition (5.8) mimics/generalises the classical formula for the relation between the free energy ψ and the thermodynamic pressure p_{th} , $p_{\text{th}} = \rho^2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \rho}$, see for example Callen (1985).

The thermodynamical pressure $p_{\text{th}}^{\text{dM}}$ has two contributions. The first contribution is $p_{\text{th}}^{\text{NSE}}$, and it comes from the part of the free energy that is independent of $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$. This contribution is tantamount to the classical contribution known from a compressible Navier–Stokes fluid. The second contribution to the thermodynamical pressure $p_{\text{th}}^{\text{dM}}$ is the term $-\tilde{\psi}$, which is a contribution due to the "elastic" part of the fluid.

Substituting for all time derivatives into (5.5) yields, after some manipulation, the explicit evolution equation for the entropy. This equation can be used in the derivation of the constitutive relations for \mathbb{T} and j_e from the knowledge of the entropy production ξ .

MOdelling REvisited + MOdel REduction
ERC-CZ project L1202 - MORE

6. Entropy production

Following Rajagopal and Srinivasa (2000) and Rajagopal and Srinivasa (2004) we are now in a position to specify how the material produces the entropy. In our case, we use for compressible fluids the entropy production ξ ansatz in the form

$$
\widetilde{\xi} = \frac{1}{\theta} \left(\frac{2\nu + 3\lambda}{3} \left(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \right)^2 + 2\nu \mathbb{D}_{\delta} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \frac{\nu_1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\frac{\nabla}{\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-1} \frac{\nabla}{\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}} \right) + \frac{\kappa |\nabla \theta|^2}{\theta} \right),\tag{C}
$$

$$
\widetilde{\xi} = \frac{1}{\theta} \left(\frac{2\nu + 3\lambda}{3} \left(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \right)^2 + 2\nu \mathbb{D}_{\delta} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \frac{\mu^2}{2\nu_1} \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-1} - 6 \right) + \frac{\mu \tilde{\mu}(\theta)}{2\nu_1} \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \frac{\kappa |\nabla \theta|^2}{\theta} \right). \tag{D}
$$

The entropy production *ansatz* (C) is used for a fluid specified by the Helmholtz free energy *ansatz* (A), while the entropy production ansatz (D) in used for a fluid specified by the Helmholtz free energy ansatz (B). See Section 7 and Section 8 for the rationale behind these formulae.

Having the formulae for the entropy production ξ , the derived entropy evolution equation (5.5) can be compared with a generic evolution equation for the entropy that takes the form

$$
\rho \frac{\mathrm{d}\eta}{\mathrm{d}t} + \mathrm{div} \, \mathbf{j}_{\eta} = \xi,\tag{6.2}
$$

where j_{η} denotes the entropy flux. The "comparison" of the two equations in principle allows one to identify the sought constitutive relations for \mathbb{T} and j_e . Details are given for each case separately; see Section 7 for the Helmholtz free energy ansatz (A) and Section 8 for the Helmholtz free energy ansatz (B).

7. Derivation of constitutive relations – stress diffusion as a consequence of a nonstandard energy storage mechanism

In this section we derive a model for viscoelastic fluids in which the stress diffusion term is attributed to a nonstandard energy storage mechanism. The nonstandard energy storage mechanism is characterised by the presence of a gradient (nonlocal) term in the ansatz for Helmholtz free energy (A).

7.1. Evolution equation for the entropy. If the Helmholtz free energy ansatz takes the specific form (A), then the evolution equation for the entropy (5.5) reads

$$
\rho \theta \frac{d\eta}{dt} = \left\{ m + p_{\text{th}}^{\text{dM},\text{A}} - \frac{\mu}{3} \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \mu + \frac{\tilde{\mu}}{3} \text{Tr} \left[\left(\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \otimes \left(\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right] + \frac{2}{3} \tilde{\mu} \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \left(\Delta \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right\} \text{div} \, \boldsymbol{v}
$$

$$
+ \left\{ \mathbb{T}_{\delta} - \mu \big(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \big)_{\delta} + \tilde{\mu} \big[\big(\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \big) \otimes \big(\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \big) \big]_{\delta} + 2 \tilde{\mu} \big(\Delta \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \big) \big[\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \big]_{\delta} \right\} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta}
$$

$$
+ \left\{ \mu \big(\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I} \big) - 2 \tilde{\mu} \big(\Delta \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \big) \mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right\} : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \text{div} \, \boldsymbol{j}_{e} - \tilde{\mu} \, \text{div} \big[\big(\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \big) \frac{d}{dt} \big(\text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \big) \big], \quad (7.1)
$$

where the time derivatives in (5.5) have been evaluated using the explicit formulae (3.6). The thermodynamic pressure defined via (5.8b) reads

$$
p_{\rm th}^{\rm dM,Tr} = \rho^2 \frac{\partial \widetilde{\psi}}{\partial \rho} - \frac{\mu}{2} \left(\text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - 3 - \ln \det \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) - \frac{\widetilde{\mu}}{2} \left| \nabla \, \text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right|^2. \tag{7.2}
$$

The superscript A in $p_{\text{th}}^{\text{dM,Tr}}$ indicates that the thermodynamic pressure depends on the choice of the Helmholtz free energy, and that we work with the Helmholtz free energy in the form (A). Further, in (7.1) we have split the corresponding tensor fields into their spherical and traceless parts,

$$
\mathbb{T}_{\delta} =_{\text{def}} \mathbb{T} - \frac{1}{3} (\text{Tr } \mathbb{T}) \mathbb{I}, \qquad \left[\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right]_{\delta} =_{\text{def}} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \frac{1}{3} (\text{Tr } \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}) \mathbb{I}, \qquad \mathbb{D}_{\delta} =_{\text{def}} \mathbb{D} - \frac{1}{3} (\text{div } v) \mathbb{I}, \tag{7.3}
$$

and we have introduced the notation

$$
m =_{\text{def}} \frac{1}{3} \text{Tr} \mathbb{T} \tag{7.4}
$$

for the mean normal stress. The splitting allows one to identify the entropy production mechanisms that are associated with different stimuli. The entropy production due to volume changes is captured by the first term on the right-hand side of (7.1). The entropy production due to other mechanisms, such as shearing, is captured by the second term on the right-hand side of (7.1).

The first three terms on the right-hand side of (7.1) have the desired form of the product of thermodynamic affinities and fluxes. It remains to manipulate the last two terms in (7.1). We see that

$$
\frac{1}{\theta} \left\{ \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{j}_{e} + \tilde{\mu} \operatorname{div} \left[\left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right] \right\} = \operatorname{div} \left\{ \frac{\boldsymbol{j}_{e} + \tilde{\mu} \left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) : \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right)}{\theta} \right\} + \frac{1}{\theta^{2}} \left\{ \boldsymbol{j}_{e} + \left[\tilde{\mu} - \theta \frac{\mathrm{d} \tilde{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} \theta} \right] \left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right\} \bullet \nabla \theta, \quad (7.5)
$$

which allows us to rewrite the entropy evolution equation (7.1) in the form

$$
\rho \frac{d\eta}{dt} + \text{div}\left\{\frac{j_e + \tilde{\mu}(\nabla \text{Tr }\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}) : \frac{d}{dt}(\text{Tr }\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}})}{\theta}\right\} = \frac{1}{\theta} \frac{\int_{\theta} dM A - \frac{\mu}{3} \text{Tr }\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \mu + m + \frac{\tilde{\mu}}{3} \text{Tr}[(\nabla \text{Tr }\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}) \otimes (\nabla \text{Tr }\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}})] + \frac{2}{3}\tilde{\mu} \text{Tr }\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} (\Delta \text{Tr }\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}})\right\} \text{div } \mathbf{v}
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{\theta} \underbrace{\int_{\theta} dJ \delta - \mu (\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}) \delta + \tilde{\mu}[(\nabla \text{Tr }\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}) \otimes (\nabla \text{Tr }\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}})]_{\delta} + 2\tilde{\mu}(\Delta \text{Tr }\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}) [\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}]_{\delta} \cdot \mathbb{D}_{\delta}
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{\theta} \underbrace{\int_{\theta} \mu (\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I}) - 2\tilde{\mu}(\Delta \text{Tr }\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}) \mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \cdot \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}}{\frac{C}{\theta^2} \underbrace{\int_{\theta} i_e + \left[\tilde{\mu} - \theta \frac{d\tilde{\mu}}{d\theta}\right] (\nabla \text{Tr }\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}) \frac{d}{dt} (\text{Tr }\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}) \right\} \cdot \nabla \theta. \quad (7.6)
$$

Note that once we have evaluated the time derivative $\frac{d}{dt} \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$, then the last term D can be interpreted in several ways. First, we recall the formula for the time derivative of $\text{Tr }\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}},$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} = 2 \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \mathbb{D} - 2 \mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} = 2 \Big[\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \Big]_{\delta} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \frac{2}{3} \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} - 2 \mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}},\tag{7.7}
$$

see (3.6a) and (7.3). Substituting (7.7) into $\left\{ j_e + \left[\tilde{\mu} - \theta \frac{d\tilde{\mu}}{d\theta} \right] \left(\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \frac{d}{dt} \left(\text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right\} \bullet \nabla \theta$ yields

$$
\begin{split}\n\left\{\dot{\mathbf{j}}_{e} + \left[\tilde{\mu} - \theta \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\theta}\right] \left(\nabla \mathrm{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\mathrm{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right)\right\} &\bullet \nabla \theta \\
&= \dot{\mathbf{j}}_{e} \bullet \nabla \theta + 2\tilde{\mu} \left(\left[\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right]_{\delta} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\mathrm{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right) \mathrm{div} \, \mathbf{v} - \mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \left(\nabla \mathrm{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right) &\bullet \nabla \theta \\
&- 2\theta \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \left(\left[\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right]_{\delta} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\mathrm{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right) \mathrm{div} \, \mathbf{v} - \mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \left(\nabla \mathrm{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right) &\bullet \nabla \theta.\n\end{split} \tag{7.8}
$$

The penultimate term in (7.8) can be read as

$$
2\tilde{\mu}\left(\left[\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right]_{\delta}:\mathbb{D}_{\delta}+\frac{1}{3}\left(\text{Tr}\,\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right)\text{div}\,\mathbf{v}-\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}:\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right)\left(\nabla\,\text{Tr}\,\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right)\bullet\nabla\theta
$$
\n
$$
=\begin{cases}\left[2\tilde{\mu}\left(\left[\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right]_{\delta}:\mathbb{D}_{\delta}+\frac{1}{3}\left(\text{Tr}\,\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right)\text{div}\,\mathbf{v}-\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}:\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right)\left(\nabla\,\text{Tr}\,\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right)\right]\bullet\nabla\theta,\\2\tilde{\mu}\left[\left(\nabla\,\text{Tr}\,\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right)\bullet\nabla\theta\right]\left[\left[\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right]_{\delta}:\mathbb{D}_{\delta}+\frac{1}{3}\,\text{Tr}\,\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\text{div}\,\mathbf{v}-\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}:\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right].\end{cases}\tag{7.9}
$$

The first option in (7.9) suggests that the term

$$
2\tilde{\mu}\left(\left[\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right]_{\delta}:\mathbb{D}_{\delta}+\frac{1}{3}\left(\text{Tr}\,\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right)\text{div}\,\mathbf{v}-\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}:\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right)\left(\nabla\,\text{Tr}\,\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right)\bullet\nabla\theta\tag{7.10}
$$

should be interpreted as a flux associated with the affinity $\nabla \theta$, and consequently it should stay as a factor in the term D. On the other hand, the second option in (7.9) suggests that the term should be split as

$$
2\tilde{\mu}\left[\left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right) \bullet \nabla \theta\right] \left[\left[\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right]_{\delta} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} - \mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right],\tag{7.11}
$$

and interpreted as a sum of fluxes associated with the affinities \mathbb{D}_{δ} , div v and $\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$, and hence grouped with the terms A, B and C in (7.6). Similarly, the last term in (7.8) can be read as

$$
2\theta \frac{d\tilde{\mu}}{d\theta} \left(\left[\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right]_{\delta} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} - \mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \bullet \nabla \theta
$$
\n
$$
= \begin{cases} \left[2\theta \frac{d\tilde{\mu}}{d\theta} \left(\left[\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right]_{\delta} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} - \mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right] \bullet \nabla \theta, \\ 2\theta \frac{d\tilde{\mu}}{d\theta} \left(\left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \bullet \nabla \theta \right) \left[\left[\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right]_{\delta} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} - \mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right]. \end{cases} \tag{7.12}
$$

The first option in (7.12) again suggests that the corresponding term should be interpreted as a flux associated with the affinity $\nabla \theta$, and consequently it should stay as a factor in the term D. On the other hand, the second option in (7.12) suggests that the corresponding term should be interpreted as a sum of fluxes associated with the affinities \mathbb{D}_{δ} , div v and $\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$, and hence grouped with the terms A, B and C in (7.6).

Let us now leave the question of suitable splitting open, and let us formally split both terms using weights $\alpha, \beta \in [0,1]$, that is

$$
\begin{split}\n\left\{\dot{\mathbf{j}}_{e} + \left[\tilde{\mu} - \theta \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\theta}\right] \left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right)\right\} \bullet \nabla \theta \\
&= \left\{\dot{\mathbf{j}}_{e} + \left[\alpha \tilde{\mu} - \beta \theta \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\theta}\right] \left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right)\right\} \bullet \nabla \theta \\
&+ 2\left[\left(1 - \alpha\right) \tilde{\mu} - \left(1 - \beta\right) \theta \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\theta}\right] \left[\left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right) \bullet \nabla \theta\right] \left[\left[\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right]_{\delta} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} - \mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right].\n\end{split} \tag{7.13}
$$

Note that the splitting has no influence on the right-hand side of the evolution equation for the entropy (7.6): the entropy production remains the same regardless of the value of the splitting parameters α and β .

We conclude that the evolution equation for the entropy reads

$$
\rho \frac{\mathrm{d}\eta}{\mathrm{d}t} + \mathrm{div} \, \mathbf{j}_{\eta} = \frac{1}{\theta} \left\{ (J_{\mathrm{div} \, \mathbf{v}}) \, \mathrm{div} \, \mathbf{v} + \mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{D}_{\delta}} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}} : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbf{J}_{\nabla \theta} \bullet \frac{\nabla \theta}{\theta} \right\},\tag{7.14a}
$$

where the entropy flux has been identified as

$$
\boldsymbol{j}_{\eta} =_{\text{def}} \frac{\boldsymbol{j}_{e} + \tilde{\mu} \left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right)}{\theta},\tag{7.14b}
$$

which by virtue of the explicit formula for $\frac{d}{dt} \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$, see (3.6a), means that the explicit formula for the entropy flux j_{η} reads

$$
\boldsymbol{j}_{\eta} = \frac{\boldsymbol{j}_{e} + 2\tilde{\mu}\left[\left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \mathbb{D}\right) - \left(\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right)\right] \nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}}{\theta}.
$$
\n(7.14c)

The flux terms $J_{\text{div }v}$, $\mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{D}_{\kappa}}$, $\mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}}$ and $J_{\nabla\theta}$ are given by the formulae

$$
J_{\text{div}\,\mathbf{v}} =_{\text{def}} m + p_{\text{th}}^{\text{dM},\text{A}} - \frac{\mu}{3} \text{Tr}\,\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \mu + \frac{\tilde{\mu}}{3} \text{Tr}\left[\left(\nabla \text{Tr}\,\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \otimes \left(\nabla \text{Tr}\,\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right] + \frac{2}{3} \tilde{\mu} \text{Tr}\,\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \left(\Delta \text{Tr}\,\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) - \frac{2}{3} \left[(1 - \alpha)\tilde{\mu} - (1 - \beta) \theta \frac{\text{d}\tilde{\mu}}{\text{d}\theta} \right] \left[\left(\nabla \text{Tr}\,\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \bullet \frac{\nabla \theta}{\theta} \right] \text{Tr}\,\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}, \quad (7.14d)
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{D}_{\delta}} =_{\text{def}} \mathbb{T}_{\delta} - \mu \big(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\big)_{\delta} + \tilde{\mu} \big[\big(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\big) \otimes \big(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\big) \big]_{\delta} + 2\tilde{\mu} \big(\Delta \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\big) \big(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\big)_{\delta} - 2 \bigg[(1 - \alpha)\tilde{\mu} - (1 - \beta) \theta \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \bigg] \bigg[\big(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\big) \bullet \frac{\nabla \theta}{\theta} \bigg] \big(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\big)_{\delta}, \quad (7.14e)
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}} =_{\text{def}} \mu \left(\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I} \right) - 2\tilde{\mu} \left(\Delta \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + 2 \left[(1 - \alpha)\tilde{\mu} - (1 - \beta) \theta \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \right] \left[\left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \bullet \frac{\nabla \theta}{\theta} \right] \mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}},\tag{7.14f}
$$

$$
\mathbf{J}_{\nabla\theta} =_{\text{def}} \mathbf{j}_{e} + \left[\alpha \tilde{\mu} - \beta \theta \frac{d \tilde{\mu}}{d \theta} \right] \left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \frac{d}{dt} \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right), \tag{7.14g}
$$

where α and β are the splitting parameters.

The derived entropy evolution equation (7.14a) can now be compared with a generic evolution equation for the entropy that takes the form (6.2). Following Rajagopal and Srinivasa (2000) and Rajagopal and Srinivasa (2004) we are now in a position to specify how the material produces the entropy. We chose a specific ξ in (6.2) and compare the desired entropy production ξ with the right-hand side of (7.14a), which is the form implied by the choice of the Helmholtz free energy. This leads to the identification of relations between the flux terms $J_{\text{div}\,v}$, $\mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{D}_{\delta}}$, $\mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}}$ and $J_{\nabla\theta}$ and the corresponding kinematical/thermal quantities.

In principle, the "comparison" of the desired entropy production ξ and the entropy production structure dictated by (7.14) can be made more precise by appealing to the maximisation of the entropy production procedure, Rajagopal and Srinivasa (2004), or to some other thermodynamics-based argument. In the present case, we limit ourselves to a simple "comparison" of the two formulae for the entropy production. This provides us with a simple argument that, in the present case, effectively leads to the same result as more involved thermodynamics-based arguments.

7.2. Entropy production and constitutive relations. If the *ansatz* for the Helmholtz free energy is chosen as in (A) , then the evolution equation for the entropy is (7.14). On the other hand, the entropy production ξ in the material is assumed to take the form

$$
\widetilde{\xi} = \frac{1}{\theta} \left(\frac{2\nu + 3\lambda}{3} \left(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \right)^2 + 2\nu \mathbb{D}_{\delta} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \nu_1 \left(\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \frac{1}{\theta} \kappa |\nabla \theta|^2 \right),\tag{7.15}
$$

where ν , ν_1 , λ and κ are constants such that

$$
\frac{2\nu+3\lambda}{3} \ge 0, \qquad \nu \ge 0, \qquad \nu_1 \ge 0, \qquad \kappa \ge 0.
$$
 (7.16)

The definition of $\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} = \mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ implies that the entropy production $\widetilde{\xi}$ is non-negative³. Consequently, the second law of thermodynamics is automatically satisfied. Apparently, the *ansatz* for the entropy production is motivated by the knowledge of the entropy production formulae for a compressible Navier–Stokes–Fourier fluid and a Maxwell/Oldroyd-B incompressible viscoelastic fluid, see Málek et al. (2015a), Málek and Průša (2017) and Hron et al. (2016).

If we compare the generic entropy evolution equation (6.2) with desired entropy production $\xi =_{\text{def}} \xi$, that is

$$
\rho \frac{\mathrm{d}\eta}{\mathrm{d}t} + \mathrm{div} \, \mathbf{j}_{\eta} = \frac{1}{\theta} \left(\frac{2\nu + 3\lambda}{3} \left(\mathrm{div} \, \mathbf{v} \right)^2 + 2\nu \mathbb{D}_{\delta} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \nu_1 \left(\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \frac{1}{\theta} \kappa \left| \nabla \theta \right|^2 \right),\tag{7.17}
$$

with the entropy evolution equation (7.6) implied by the *ansatz* for the Helmholtz free energy, that is

$$
\rho \frac{\mathrm{d}\eta}{\mathrm{d}t} + \mathrm{div} \, \mathbf{j}_{\eta} = \frac{1}{\theta} \left\{ (J_{\mathrm{div} \, \mathbf{v}}) \, \mathrm{div} \, \mathbf{v} + \mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{D}_{\delta}} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}} : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbf{J}_{\nabla \theta} \bullet \frac{\nabla \theta}{\theta} \right\},\tag{7.18}
$$

we see that the flux terms $J_{\text{div }v}$, $\mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{D}_{\delta}}$, $\mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}}$ and $J_{\nabla \theta}$ must satisfy the equalities

$$
J_{\text{div}\,\mathbf{v}} = \frac{2\nu + 3\lambda}{3} \operatorname{div}\mathbf{v},\tag{7.19a}
$$

$$
\mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{D}_{\delta}} = 2\nu \mathbb{D}_{\delta},\tag{7.19b}
$$

$$
\mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}} = \nu_1 \left(\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right),\tag{7.19c}
$$

$$
J_{\nabla\theta} = -\kappa \nabla\theta. \tag{7.19d}
$$

The equations (7.19) are in fact the sought constitutive relations for the Cauchy stress tensor $\mathbb{T} = m\mathbb{I} + \mathbb{T}_{\delta}$ and the energy flux j_e ; in particular (7.19c) is, as we shall show below, a rate-type equation for $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$.

Indeed, if we recall the definition of $J_{\text{div}\,v}$, see (7.14d), then equation (7.19a) can be solved for the mean normal stress m , which yields

$$
m = -p_{\text{th}}^{\text{dM},\text{A}} + \frac{\mu}{3} \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mu - \frac{\tilde{\mu}}{3} \text{Tr} \left[\left(\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \otimes \left(\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right] - \frac{2}{3} \tilde{\mu} \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \left(\Delta \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) + \frac{2}{3} \left[(1 - \alpha) \tilde{\mu} - (1 - \beta) \theta \frac{\text{d} \tilde{\mu}}{\text{d} \theta} \right] \left[\left(\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \bullet \frac{\nabla \theta}{\theta} \right] \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \frac{2\nu + 3\lambda}{3} \text{div} \boldsymbol{v}.
$$
 (7.20a)

The constitutive relation for the traceless part of the Cauchy stress tensor \mathbb{T}_δ can be, by virtue of the definition of the flux term $\mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{D}_{\delta}}$, see (7.14e), read from (7.19b) as

$$
\mathbb{T}_{\delta} = 2\nu \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \mu (\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}})_{\delta} - \tilde{\mu} \Big[(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}) \otimes (\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}) \Big]_{\delta} - 2\tilde{\mu} (\Delta \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}) (\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}})_{\delta} + 2 \Big[(1 - \alpha)\tilde{\mu} - (1 - \beta) \theta \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \Big] \Big[(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}) \bullet \frac{\nabla \theta}{\theta} \Big] (\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}})_{\delta}. \tag{7.20b}
$$

The last equation (7.19d) yields, by virtue of the definition (7.14g), the following formula for the energy flux j_e :

$$
\boldsymbol{j}_{e} = -\kappa \nabla \theta - \left[\alpha \tilde{\mu} - \beta \theta \frac{d \tilde{\mu}}{d \theta} \right] \left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \frac{d}{dt} \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right). \tag{7.20c}
$$

Finally, equation (7.19c) and the definition of the flux term $\mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}}$, see (7.14f), imply that $\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ and $\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ commute. (The proof is the same as in the classical case $\tilde{\mu} = 0$, see Rajagopal and Srinivasa (2000).) Once we know that $\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ and $\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ commute, we can multiply (7.19c) by $\mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{\top}$ from the right and by $\mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-\top}$ from the left, which yields

$$
\mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-\top} \left\{ \mu \left(\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I} \right) - 2\tilde{\mu} \left(\Delta \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + 2 \left[(1 - \alpha)\tilde{\mu} - (1 - \beta) \theta \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \right] \right] \left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \bullet \frac{\nabla \theta}{\theta} \Bigg] \mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \bigg\} \mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{\top} = 2\nu_1 \mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{\top}. \tag{7.20d}
$$

Now we recall the definitions $\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} =_{\text{def}} \mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} =_{\text{def}} \mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{\mathsf{T}}$ and the fact that the right-hand side can be identified with the upper convected derivative of $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$, see (3.5). This yields the following evolution equation for $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$:

$$
\nu_1 \overline{\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}} + \mu \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I} \right) = 2\tilde{\mu} \left(\Delta \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - 2 \left[(1 - \alpha)\tilde{\mu} - (1 - \beta) \theta \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \right] \left[\left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \bullet \frac{\nabla \theta}{\theta} \right] \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}. \tag{7.20e}
$$

Once we have this equation, we can determine the evolution of $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$, which is the quantity that appears in the formulae for the Cauchy stress tensor $\mathbb T$ and the energy flux j_e , see (7.20a)–(7.20c). This completes the formulation of the constitutive relations for \mathbb{T} and j_e .

 $\text{3'Indeed, by virtue of $\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}=\mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{\top} \mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ we see that $\left(\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}+\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right) : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}=2\left|\mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right|^{2} \geq 0$.}$

7.3. Entropy production in terms of the primitive variables. The entropy production specified in (7.15) contains the quantities $\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ and $\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ that are not convenient since we do not have explicit evolution equations for these variables. However, the term $(\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}): \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ can be easily rewritten in terms of $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ and its time derivatives. Indeed, the critical term reads $(\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}) : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} = |\mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}|^2$, which can be converted, by virtue or (3.5), to

$$
\left(\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right) : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} = \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\overline{\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-1}} \overline{\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}}\right). \tag{7.21}
$$

(See also Hron et al. (2016) for a similar manipulation in the case of classical viscoelastic rate-type models.) Further, one can exploit the evolution equation for $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$, see (7.20e), and convert the right-hand side of (7.21) to a form that does not include time derivatives,

$$
\left(\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right) : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} = \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{A} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-1} \mathbb{A}\right),\tag{7.22a}
$$

where

$$
\mathbb{A} =_{\text{def}} \frac{2\tilde{\mu}}{\nu_1} \left(\Delta \text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \frac{2}{\nu_1} \left[(1 - \alpha)\tilde{\mu} - (1 - \beta) \theta \frac{\text{d}\tilde{\mu}}{\text{d}\theta} \right] \left[\left(\nabla \text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \bullet \frac{\nabla \theta}{\theta} \right] \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \frac{\mu}{\nu_1} \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I} \right). \tag{7.22b}
$$

Using (7.21) , we see that the entropy production (7.15) can be rewritten as

$$
\widetilde{\xi} = \frac{1}{\theta} \left(\frac{2\nu + 3\lambda}{3} \left(\mathrm{div} \, \mathbf{v} \right)^2 + 2\nu \mathbb{D}_{\delta} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \frac{\nu_1}{2} \, \mathrm{Tr} \left(\overline{\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{\mathbf{v}}} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-1} \overline{\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{\mathbf{v}}} \right) + \frac{\kappa \left| \nabla \theta \right|^2}{\theta} \right),\tag{7.23}
$$

hence the entropy production contains the same quantities as the constitutive relations for the Cauchy stress tensor, the energy flux and the evolution equation for $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$. If necessary, identity (7.22a) can be used as well, which would yield yet another reformulation of the entropy production. In particular, if $\tilde{\mu} = 0$, one would get

$$
\frac{\nu_1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\frac{\overline{\mathbb{B}}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-1} \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) = \frac{\mu^2}{2\nu_1} \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-1} - 6 \right). \tag{7.24}
$$

7.4. Evolution equation for the temperature. Having expressed the entropy production in terms of the primitive variables v, θ, ρ and $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$, we are ready to formulate the evolution equation for the temperature. The temperature evolution equation follows from the entropy evolution equation. The entropy η is given as the derivative of the Helmholtz free energy ψ with respect to the temperature,

$$
\eta = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta}(\theta, \rho, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}, \nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}). \tag{7.25}
$$

Using the decomposition (4.3) and the chain rule, we see that the Helmoltz free energy ansatz (A) leads to

$$
\frac{d\eta}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(-\frac{\partial \widetilde{\psi}}{\partial \theta} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \widetilde{\psi}}{\partial \theta} \right) = \left(-\frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{\psi}}{\partial \theta^2} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{\psi}}{\partial \theta^2} \right) \frac{d\theta}{dt} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(-\frac{\partial \widetilde{\psi}}{\partial \rho} + \frac{\widetilde{\psi}}{\rho^2} \right) \frac{d\rho}{dt} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{\psi}}{\partial \theta \partial |\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}|^2} \frac{d}{dt} |\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}|^2. \tag{7.26}
$$

Introducing the notation

$$
c_{\rm V}^{\rm NSE} =_{\rm def} -\theta \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{\psi}}{\partial \theta^2},\tag{7.27}
$$

and using the definition of the thermodynamic pressure $p_{\text{th}}^{\text{dM},\text{A}}$, see (5.8b), we can rewrite (7.26) as

$$
\frac{d\eta}{dt} = \frac{1}{\theta} \left(c_V^{\text{NSE}} - \frac{\theta}{2\rho} \frac{d^2 \tilde{\mu}}{d\theta^2} \left| \nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right|^2 \right) \frac{d\theta}{dt} - \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial p_{\text{th}}^{\text{dM},\text{A}}}{\partial \theta} \frac{d\rho}{dt} - \frac{1}{2\rho} \frac{d\tilde{\mu}}{d\theta} \frac{d}{dt} \left| \nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right|^2. \tag{7.28}
$$

This provides us with a relation between the time derivative of the entropy and the time derivative of the temperature. Clearly, the notation c_V^{NSE} is motivated by the classical formula for the specific heat at constant volume. Concerning the time derivatives $\frac{d\rho}{dt}$ and $\frac{d}{dt} |\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}|^2$ on the right-hand side of (7.28), we can exploit the balance of mass (5.6) and the kinematic identity (3.6c), which yield

$$
\rho \frac{d\eta}{dt} = \frac{1}{\theta} \left\{ \left(\rho c_{V}^{\text{NSE}} - \frac{\theta}{2} \frac{d^{2} \tilde{\mu}}{d\theta} \left| \nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right|^{2} \right) \frac{d\theta}{dt} + \theta \frac{\partial p_{\text{th}}^{\text{dM},\text{A}}}{\partial \theta} \text{div} \mathbf{v} \right\} + \frac{d\tilde{\mu}}{d\theta} \left\{ \left[\Delta \left(\text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right] \frac{d}{dt} \left(\text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) + \mathbb{L} : \left[\nabla \left(\text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \otimes \nabla \left(\text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right] \right\} - \frac{d\tilde{\mu}}{d\theta} \text{div} \left(\left(\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \frac{d}{dt} \left(\text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right). \tag{7.29}
$$

Now we substitute the explicit formula (7.29) for the time derivative of the entropy into the entropy evolution equation

$$
\rho \frac{\mathrm{d}\eta}{\mathrm{d}t} + \mathrm{div} \, \mathbf{j}_{\eta} = \widetilde{\xi}.\tag{7.30}
$$

By virtue of the entropy production ansatz (7.15), see also (7.23), the formula for the entropy flux (7.14b), and the kinematic identity (7.7) , we can rewrite (7.30) as

$$
\left(\rho c_{V}^{\text{NSE}} - \frac{\theta}{2} \frac{d^{2} \tilde{\mu}}{d\theta^{2}} |\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}|^{2}\right) \frac{d\theta}{dt} \n+ \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left\{p_{\text{th}}^{\text{dM},\text{A}} + \frac{\tilde{\mu}}{3} \text{Tr} \left[\left(\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \otimes \left(\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right] + \frac{2}{3} \tilde{\mu} \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \left(\Delta \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right\} \text{div} \boldsymbol{v} \n+ \left\{ \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left[\tilde{\mu} \left[\left(\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \otimes \left(\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right]_{\delta} + 2 \tilde{\mu} \left(\Delta \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right)_{\delta} \right] \right\} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} \n+ \left\{ \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left[2 \tilde{\mu} \left(\Delta \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right] \right\} : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \n- \theta \frac{\mathrm{d} \tilde{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} \theta} \mathrm{div} \left(\left(\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d} t} \left(\text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right) + \theta \mathrm{div} \left(\frac{j_e + \tilde{\mu} \left(\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d} t} \left(\text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right)}{\theta} \right) \n= \frac{2 \nu + 3 \lambda}{3} \
$$

Note that the term $\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$: $\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ can be expressed in terms of $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$: it suffices to take the trace of (7.19c) and use the definition of $\mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}}$. Further, the energy flux is given by (7.20c). Consequently (7.31) is the sought evolution equation for the temperature in terms of the primitive variables θ , ρ , \boldsymbol{v} and $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$.

7.5. Full system of governing equations for primitive variables – compressible fluid. If we fix the splitting parameters $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$, then the energy flux j_e is given as

$$
\boldsymbol{j}_{e} = -\kappa \nabla \theta - \tilde{\mu} \left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right),\tag{7.32}
$$

see (7.20c), while the formula for the entropy flux \mathbf{j}_{η} reads

$$
\dot{\mathbf{j}}_{\eta} = \frac{-\kappa \nabla \theta}{\theta},\tag{7.33}
$$

see (7.14b). The temperature evolution equation (7.31) simplifies to

$$
\left(\rho c_{V}^{\text{NSE}} - \frac{\theta}{2} \frac{d^{2} \tilde{\mu}}{d\theta^{2}} \left| \nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right|^{2} \right) \frac{d\theta}{dt} + \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left\{ p_{\text{th}}^{\text{dM},\text{A}} + \frac{\tilde{\mu}}{3} \text{Tr} \left[\left(\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \otimes \left(\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right] + \frac{2}{3} \tilde{\mu} \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \left(\Delta \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right\} \text{div} \boldsymbol{v}
$$

$$
+ \left\{ \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left[\tilde{\mu} \left[\left(\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \otimes \left(\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right]_{\delta} + 2 \tilde{\mu} \left(\Delta \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right)_{\delta} \right] \right\} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \left\{ \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left[2 \tilde{\mu} \left(\Delta \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right] \right\} : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}
$$

$$
= \text{div} \left(\kappa \nabla \theta \right) + \frac{2\nu + 3\lambda}{3} \left(\text{div} \boldsymbol{v} \right)^{2} + 2\nu \mathbb{D}_{\delta} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \frac{\nu_{1}}{2} \text{Tr} \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-1} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right.
$$

$$
+ \theta \frac{d \tilde{\mu}}{d\theta} \text{div} \left[\left(\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \frac
$$

This equation can be further transformed into a more convenient form. First, we return to the constitutive relations for the Cauchy stress tensor $\mathbb{T} = m\mathbb{I} + \mathbb{T}_{\delta}$, where the mean normal stress m and the traceless part are given by (7.20a) and (7.20b). The Cauchy stress tensor can be decomposed as

$$
\mathbb{T} = -p_{\text{eq}}\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}_{\text{eq}} + \mathbb{T}_{\text{vis}} - 2\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \left[\left(\nabla \mathrm{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \bullet \nabla \theta \right] \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}},\tag{7.35}
$$

where

MOdelling REvisited + MOdel REduction
ERC-CZ project L1202 - MORE

$$
\mathbb{P}_{\text{eq}} =_{\text{def}} - \mu \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right)_{\delta} + \tilde{\mu} \left[\left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \otimes \left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right]_{\delta} + 2\tilde{\mu} \left(\Delta \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right)_{\delta},\tag{7.36a}
$$

$$
p_{\text{eq}} =_{\text{def}} p_{\text{th}}^{\text{dM},\text{A}} - \frac{\mu}{3} \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \mu + \frac{\tilde{\mu}}{3} \text{Tr} \left[\left(\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \otimes \left(\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right] + \frac{2}{3} \tilde{\mu} \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \left(\Delta \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right), \tag{7.36b}
$$
\n
$$
\mathbb{T}_{\text{vis}} =_{\text{def}} \lambda \left(\text{div} \, \mathbf{v} \right) \mathbb{I} + 2\nu \mathbb{D}, \tag{7.36c}
$$

and using this notation, we can rewrite (7.34) as⁴

$$
\left(\rho c_{V}^{\text{NSE}} - \frac{\theta}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \tilde{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} \theta^{2}} \left| \nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right|^{2} \right) \frac{\mathrm{d} \theta}{\mathrm{d} t} = \mathbb{T}_{\text{vis}} : \mathbb{D} - \theta \frac{\partial p_{\text{eq}}}{\partial \theta} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} + \operatorname{div} (\kappa \nabla \theta) - \theta \frac{\partial \mathbb{P}_{\text{eq}}}{\partial \theta} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \frac{\nu_{1}}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\overline{\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{\mathbf{v}}} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-1} \overline{\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{\mathbf{v}}} \right) - 2\theta \frac{\mathrm{d} \tilde{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} \theta} \left(\Delta \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \left(\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) + \theta \frac{\mathrm{d} \tilde{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} \theta} \operatorname{div} \left[\left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d} t} \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right], \quad (7.37)
$$

which is the form that resembles the standard formula

$$
\rho c_V^{\text{NSE}} \frac{\text{d}\theta}{\text{d}t} = \mathbb{T}_{\text{vis}} : \mathbb{D} - \theta \frac{\partial p_{\text{eq}}}{\partial \theta} \text{ div } \boldsymbol{v} + \text{div} (\kappa \nabla \theta)
$$
\n(7.38)

⁴Recall that μ is assumed to be a constant.

for a classical compressible Navier–Stokes–Fourier fluid, see for example Gurtin et al. (2010). (Naturally, the formula for the equilibrium pressure p_{eq} is different in the case of a Navier–Stokes–Fourier fluid. However, if $\mu = 0$, $\nu_1 = 0$ and $\tilde{\mu} = 0$, then (7.38) coincides with (7.37), including the definition of the equilibrium pressure p_{eq} .) In this sense we have obtained a proper generalisation of the standard temperature evolution equation in the case of a compressible viscoelastic rate-type fluid with stress diffusion.

Note that the time derivative $\frac{d}{dt} \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ in (7.37) can be explicitly expressed in terms of the primitive variables. It suffices to take the trace of the evolution equation for $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$, see (7.20e), which yields

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} = 2 \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \mathbb{D} - \frac{\mu}{\nu_1} \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - 3 \right) + \frac{2\tilde{\mu}}{\nu_1} \left(\Delta \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \frac{2}{\nu_1} \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \left[\left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \bullet \nabla \theta \right] \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}. \tag{7.39}
$$

Further, the product $\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \colon \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ in (7.37) can be also explicitly expressed in terms of the primitive variables. It suffices to take the trace of (7.19c) and use the definition of $\mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}}$, see (7.14f), which yields

$$
\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} = \frac{\mu}{2\nu_1} \left(\text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - 3 \right) - \frac{\tilde{\mu}}{\nu_1} \left(\Delta \, \text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \frac{1}{\nu_1} \frac{d\tilde{\mu}}{d\theta} \left[\left(\nabla \, \text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \bullet \nabla \theta \right] \text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}. \tag{7.40}
$$

Finally, the governing equations for the primitive mechanical variables ρ , **v** and $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ are

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho}{\mathrm{d}t} + \rho \,\mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{v} = 0,\tag{7.41a}
$$

$$
\rho \frac{\mathrm{d}v}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathrm{div}\,\mathbb{T} + \rho \mathbf{b},\tag{7.41b}
$$

$$
\nu_1 \overline{\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}} + \mu \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I} \right) = 2\tilde{\mu} \left(\Delta \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + 2 \frac{d\tilde{\mu}}{d\theta} \left[\left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \bullet \nabla \theta \right] \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}},\tag{7.41c}
$$

where (7.41c) follows from (7.20e). The final full system of governing equations is shown in Summary 1.

7.6. Incompressible fluid. The derivation outlined above can be also used in the case of incompressible fluids. In such a case the procedure is very close to that used by Málek et al. (2015a) and Hron et al. (2016) with appropriate changes reflecting the presence of the gradient term in the Helmholtz free energy ansatz, see above.

The counterpart of *ansatz* (A) in the incompressible case is

$$
\psi =_{\text{def}} \widetilde{\psi}(\theta) + \frac{\mu}{2\rho} \left(\text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - 3 - \ln \det \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) + \frac{\widetilde{\mu}(\theta)}{2\rho} \left| \nabla \, \text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right|^2, \tag{7.51a}
$$

where ρ is a constant. The entropy evolution equation remains almost the same as in (7.18), except the term $(J_{\text{div}\,v})$ div v that vanishes by virtue of the incompressibility of the fluid. The counterpart of the entropy production ansatz (7.15) is in the incompressible case

$$
\widetilde{\xi} =_{\text{def}} \frac{1}{\theta} \left(2\nu \mathbb{D}_{\delta} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \nu_1 \left(\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \frac{1}{\theta} \kappa |\nabla \theta|^2 \right),\tag{7.51b}
$$

where one can note that $\mathbb{D}_{\delta} = \mathbb{D}$.

7.7. Full system of governing equations for primitive variables – incompressible fluid. The Helmholtz free energy ansatz (7.51a) and entropy production ansatz (7.51b) then lead to following governing equations for v, m and $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$:

$$
\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} = 0, \tag{7.52a}
$$

$$
\rho \frac{\mathrm{d}v}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathrm{div} \, \mathbb{T} + \rho \mathbf{b},\tag{7.52b}
$$

$$
\nu_1 \overline{\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}} + \mu \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I} \right) = 2\tilde{\mu} \left(\Delta \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + 2 \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \left[\left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \bullet \nabla \theta \right] \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}},\tag{7.52c}
$$

and the temperature θ :

$$
\left(\rho c_V^{\text{NSE}} - \frac{\theta}{2} \frac{d^2 \tilde{\mu}}{d\theta^2} |\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}|^2 \right) \frac{d\theta}{dt} = \mathbb{S} : \mathbb{D} + \text{div} \left(\kappa \nabla \theta\right) - \theta \frac{\partial \mathbb{P}}{\partial \theta} : \mathbb{D} + \frac{\nu_1}{2} \text{Tr} \left(\frac{\nabla}{\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-1} \frac{\nabla}{\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}} \right) \right)
$$

$$
- 2\theta \frac{d\tilde{\mu}}{d\theta} \left(\Delta \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \left(\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) + \theta \frac{d\tilde{\mu}}{d\theta} \text{div} \left[\left(\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \frac{d}{dt} \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right], \quad (7.52d)
$$

where the constitutive relation for the Cauchy stress tensor reads

$$
\mathbb{T} = m\mathbb{I} + \mathbb{S} - 2\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \left[\left(\nabla \mathrm{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \bullet \nabla \theta \right] \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}},\tag{7.52e}
$$

$$
S = 2\nu \mathbb{D}_{\delta} - \mathbb{P},\tag{7.52f}
$$

$$
\mathbb{P} = -\mu \big(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \big)_{\delta} + \tilde{\mu} \big[\big(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \big) \otimes \big(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \big) \big]_{\delta} + 2 \tilde{\mu} \big(\Delta \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \big) \big(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \big)_{\delta}.
$$
 (7.52g)

The definition of the specific heat at constant volume c_V^{NSE} remains the same as in the compressible case, see (7.27). Further, the formulae for the time derivative $\frac{d}{dt} \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ and the product $\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$: $\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$, that appear in the temperature evolution equation (7.52d), are also the same as in the compressible case, see (7.39) and (7.40). Finally, the formula for the energy flux j_e is also the same as in the compressible case, see (7.32). The final full system of governing equations is shown in Summary 2.

Summary 1: Compressible viscoelastic rate-type fluid with stress diffusion and temperature-dependent stress diffusion coefficient $\tilde{\mu}$, splitting parameters α = 1, β = 0

Helmholtz free energy ψ , ansatz (A):

$$
\psi = \widetilde{\psi}(\theta, \rho) + \frac{1}{\rho} \widetilde{\widetilde{\psi}},\tag{7.42a}
$$

$$
\widetilde{\widetilde{\psi}} = \frac{\mu}{2} \left(\text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - 3 - \ln \det \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) + \frac{\widetilde{\mu}(\theta)}{2} \left| \nabla \, \text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right|^2. \tag{7.42b}
$$

Entropy production ξ , ansatz (7.15) rewritten in terms of primitive quantities, see (7.23):

$$
\widetilde{\xi} = \frac{1}{\theta} \left(\frac{2\nu + 3\lambda}{3} \left(\mathrm{div} \, \mathbf{v} \right)^2 + 2\nu \mathbb{D}_{\delta} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \frac{\nu_1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\overline{\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-1} \overline{\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}} \right) + \frac{\kappa |\nabla \theta|^2}{\theta} \right). \tag{7.43}
$$

Material parameters (constants): μ , ν , λ , ν ₁, κ . Material parameters (temperature-dependent): $\tilde{\mu}$. Evolution equations for ρ , \mathbf{v} , $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho}{\mathrm{d}t} + \rho \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0,\tag{7.44a}
$$

$$
\rho \frac{\mathrm{d} \mathbf{v}}{\mathrm{d} t} = \mathrm{div} \, \mathbb{T} + \rho \mathbf{b},\tag{7.44b}
$$

$$
\nu_1 \frac{\nabla}{\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}} + \mu \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I} \right) = 2\tilde{\mu} \left(\Delta \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + 2 \frac{d\tilde{\mu}}{d\theta} \left[\left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \bullet \nabla \theta \right] \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}.
$$
\n(7.44c)

Evolution equation for θ :

**Summary 1: Compresselible viscoelastic rate-type fluid with stress diffusion and temperature-dependent stress diffusion coefficient
$$
\hat{\mu}
$$
, splitting parameters $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 0$
\nHelmhotz free energy ψ , $\alpha x \text{ at } (A)$:
\n
$$
\vec{v} = \frac{\mu}{\psi} (\Omega, \mu) + \frac{1}{\rho} \vec{v},
$$
\n
$$
\vec{v} = \frac{\mu}{\psi} (\Omega, \mu) + \frac{1}{\rho} \vec{v},
$$
\n
$$
\vec{v} = \frac{\mu}{\psi} (\text{Eqs}_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma}) + \frac{\mu(\theta)}{2} |\nabla \text{TE} \text{R}_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma}|^2.
$$
\n(7.42a)
\n**Eulerity** production ξ , $\alpha x \text{ at } (7.15)$ rewritten in terms of primitive quantities, see (7.23):
\n
$$
\vec{\xi} = \frac{1}{\sigma} \left(\frac{2\nu + 3\lambda}{3} (\text{div } v)^2 + 2\nu \text{D}_\delta \cdot \text{D}_\theta + \frac{\nu_0}{2} \text{Tr} \left(\overline{\text{E}_{\mu_{\alpha} \alpha}} \text{E}_{\mu_{\alpha} \alpha} \text{
$$**

Auxiliary terms:

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} = 2 \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \mathbb{D} - \frac{\mu}{\nu_1} \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - 3 \right) + \frac{2\tilde{\mu}}{\nu_1} \left(\Delta \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \frac{2}{\nu_1} \frac{d\tilde{\mu}}{d\theta} \left[\left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \bullet \nabla \theta \right] \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}, \quad (7.45)
$$

$$
\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} = \frac{\mu}{2\nu_{1}} \left(\text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - 3 \right) - \frac{\tilde{\mu}}{\nu_{1}} \left(\Delta \, \text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \frac{1}{\nu_{1}} \frac{d\tilde{\mu}}{d\theta} \left[\left(\nabla \, \text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \bullet \nabla \theta \right] \text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}. \tag{7.46}
$$

Constitutive relation for the Cauchy stress tensor **T** :

$$
\mathbb{T} = -p_{\text{eq}}\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}_{\text{eq}} + \mathbb{T}_{\text{vis}} - 2\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \left[\left(\nabla \mathrm{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \bullet \nabla \theta \right] \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}.
$$
\n(7.47)

Definitions of p_{eq} , \mathbb{P}_{eq} and \mathbb{T}_{vis} :

$$
\mathbb{P}_{\text{eq}} = -\mu \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right)_{\delta} + \tilde{\mu} \left[\left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \otimes \left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right]_{\delta} + 2\tilde{\mu} \left(\Delta \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right)_{\delta},\tag{7.48a}
$$

$$
p_{\text{eq}} = p_{\text{th}}^{\text{dM},\text{A}} - \frac{\mu}{3} \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \mu + \frac{\tilde{\mu}}{3} \text{Tr} \left[\left(\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \otimes \left(\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right] + \frac{2}{3} \tilde{\mu} \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \left(\Delta \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right), \tag{7.48b}
$$

$$
\mathbb{T}_{\text{vis}} = \lambda \left(\text{div} \, \boldsymbol{v} \right) \mathbb{I} + 2\nu \mathbb{D}. \tag{7.48c}
$$

Quantities derived from the Helmholtz free energy:

$$
p_{\rm th}^{\rm NSE} = \rho^2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \rho},\tag{7.48d}
$$

$$
p_{\rm th}^{\rm dM, A} = p_{\rm th}^{\rm NSE} - \widetilde{\widetilde{\psi}},\tag{7.48e}
$$

$$
c_{\rm V}^{\rm NSE} = -\theta \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\psi}}{\partial \theta^2}.
$$
\n(7.48f)

Constitutive relation for the energy flux j_e , has been already used in (7.44d):

$$
\boldsymbol{j}_{e} = -\kappa \nabla \theta - \tilde{\mu} \left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right). \tag{7.49}
$$

Constitutive relation for the entropy flux j_{η} :

$$
\mathbf{j}_{\eta} = \frac{-\kappa \nabla \theta}{\theta}.
$$
\n(7.50)

Note that the mean normal stress m or the "pressure" is in the case of an incompressible fluid a *primitive quantity that*

Summary 2: Incompressible viscoelastic rate-type fluid with stress diffusion and temperaturedependent stress diffusion coefficient $\tilde{\mu}$, splitting parameters $\alpha = 1, \beta = 0$

Helmholtz free energy ψ , incompressible variant of *ansatz* (A), see (7.51a):

$$
\psi = \widetilde{\psi}(\theta) + \frac{1}{\rho}\widetilde{\widetilde{\psi}},\tag{7.53a}
$$

$$
\widetilde{\widetilde{\psi}} = \frac{\mu}{2} \left(\text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - 3 - \ln \det \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) + \frac{\widetilde{\mu}(\theta)}{2} \left| \nabla \, \text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right|^2. \tag{7.53b}
$$

Entropy production ξ , incompressible variant of *ansatz* (7.15), see (7.51b), rewritten in terms of primitive quantities:

$$
\widetilde{\xi} = \frac{1}{\theta} \left(2\nu \mathbb{D}_{\delta} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \frac{\nu_1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\frac{\nabla}{\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-1} \frac{\nabla}{\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}} \right) + \frac{\kappa |\nabla \theta|^2}{\theta} \right). \tag{7.54}
$$

Material parameters (constants): μ , ν , λ , ν ₁, κ . Material parameters (temperature-dependent): $\tilde{\mu}$. Evolution equations for m , \mathbf{v} , $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$:

 $\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} = 0$, $(7.55a)$

$$
\rho \frac{\mathrm{d}v}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathrm{div} \, \mathbb{T} + \rho \mathbf{b},\tag{7.55b}
$$

$$
\nu_1 \overline{\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}} + \mu \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I} \right) = 2\tilde{\mu} \left(\Delta \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + 2 \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \left[\left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \bullet \nabla \theta \right] \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}. \tag{7.55c}
$$

Evolution equation for θ :

$$
\left(\rho c_V^{\text{NSE}} - \frac{\theta}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \tilde{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\theta^2} \left| \nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right|^2 \right) \frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathbb{S} : \mathbb{D} + \mathrm{div} \left(\kappa \nabla \theta \right) - \theta \frac{\partial \mathbb{P}}{\partial \theta} : \mathbb{D} + \frac{\nu_1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\frac{\nabla}{\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-1} \frac{\nabla}{\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}} \right) - 2\theta \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \left(\Delta \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \left(\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) + \theta \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \operatorname{div} \left[\left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right]. \tag{7.55d}
$$

Auxiliary terms:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} = 2 \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \mathbb{D} - \frac{\mu}{\nu_1} \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - 3 \right) + \frac{2\tilde{\mu}}{\nu_1} \left(\Delta \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \frac{2}{\nu_1} \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \left[\left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \bullet \nabla \theta \right] \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}, \quad (7.56)
$$

$$
\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} = \frac{\mu}{2\nu_1} \left(\text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - 3 \right) - \frac{\tilde{\mu}}{\nu_1} \left(\Delta \, \text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \frac{1}{\nu_1} \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \left[\left(\nabla \, \text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \bullet \nabla \theta \right] \text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}. \tag{7.57}
$$

Constitutive relation for the Cauchy stress tensor **T** :

$$
\mathbb{T} = m\mathbb{I} + \mathbb{S} - 2\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \left[\left(\nabla \mathrm{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \bullet \nabla \theta \right] \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}.
$$
\n(7.58)

Definitions of \mathbb{T}_{δ} and \mathbb{P} :

$$
S = 2\nu D_\delta - P,\tag{7.59a}
$$

$$
\mathbb{P} = -\mu \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right)_{\delta} + \tilde{\mu} \left[\left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \otimes \left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right]_{\delta} + 2\tilde{\mu} \left(\Delta \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right)_{\delta}.
$$
 (7.59b)

Quantities derived from the Helmholtz free energy:

$$
c_{\rm V}^{\rm NSE} = -\theta \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{\psi}}{\partial \theta^2}.
$$
\n(7.59c)

Constitutive relation for the energy flux j_e , has been already used in (7.55d):

$$
\boldsymbol{j}_e = -\kappa \nabla \theta - \tilde{\mu} \left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right). \tag{7.60}
$$

Constitutive relation for the entropy flux j_{η} :

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{j}}_{\eta} = -\frac{\kappa \nabla \theta}{\theta}.
$$
 (7.61)

7.8. Remarks. Let us now focus on the model introduced in Section 7.7 (incompressible fluid, Maxwell/Oldroyd-B, where stress diffusion is a consequence of a non-standard energy storage mechanism), and let us consider a *temperature independent* stress diffusion coefficient $\tilde{\mu}$. A few remarks are in order.

First, the "nonlocal" stress diffusion term $2\tilde{\mu}(\Delta \text{Tr } \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}) \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ in (7.52c) does not include the gradient of the full tensor $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$, but only the gradient of its trace. However, if one deals with a Johnson–Segalman type model with a stress diffusion term, it is customary to work with a stress diffusion term in the form $\Delta\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$. (The Laplace operator acts on the full extra stress tensor, not only on its trace.) The question is whether the current type of nonlocal term would also provide a selection criterion for the stress values in viscoelastic models which possess a non-monotonic flow curve, see Olmsted et al. (2000) and Lu et al. (2000). We leave this question open, since we are, in any case, dealing with a Maxwell/Oldroyd-B model only.

MOdelling REvisited + MOdel REduction
ERC-CZ project L1202 - MORE

Second, let us consider $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ in the form $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} = \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{b}$, and let us assume that \mathbb{b} is a small quantity. If we decide to use the system (7.52) and keep only the linear terms in **b**, we see that the right-hand side of (7.52c) can be approximated as

$$
2\tilde{\mu}(\Delta \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}) \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \approx 2\tilde{\mu}(\Delta \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{b})\mathbb{I}.
$$
\n(7.62)

Further, the Cauchy stress tensor, see (7.52e), can be approximated as⁵

$$
\mathbb{T} = m\mathbb{I} + \mathbb{S} - 2\frac{d\tilde{\mu}}{d\theta} \left[\left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \bullet \nabla \theta \right] \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}
$$

\n
$$
= m\mathbb{I} + 2\nu \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \mu \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right)_{\delta} - \tilde{\mu} \left[\left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \otimes \left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right]_{\delta} - 2\tilde{\mu} \left(\Delta \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right)_{\delta}
$$

\n
$$
\approx \left[m - 2\tilde{\mu} \left(\Delta \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{b} \right) \right] \mathbb{I} + 2\nu \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \mu \left(\mathbb{b} \right)_{\delta} = \tilde{m} \mathbb{I} + 2\nu \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \mu \left(\mathbb{b} \right)_{\delta}, \quad (7.63)
$$

where \tilde{m} denotes the modified mean normal stress.

Consequently, the *first order contribution* of the nonlocal term $\frac{\tilde{\mu}}{2} |\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}|^2$ in the free energy *ansatz* (A) is from the perspective of the governing equations limited to the presence of the nonlocal term in the evolution equation (7.52c). The Korteweg type terms $[(\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}) \otimes (\nabla \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}})]_{\delta}$ and so forth in the Cauchy stress tensor \mathbb{T} , see (7.52e), are of second-order.

Finally, we note that the formula for the energy flux j_e allows one to identify the boundary conditions that lead to a mechanically and thermally isolated system. Since the evolution equation for total energy reads

$$
\rho \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(e + \frac{1}{2} |v|^2 \right) = \mathrm{div}(\mathbb{T}v) - \mathrm{div} \, j_e,\tag{7.64}
$$

we see that the net total energy $\int_{\Omega} \rho \left(e + \frac{1}{2} |v|^2 \right) dv$ in the domain Ω is conserved provided that $\int_{\partial \Omega} (\mathbb{T} v - \mathbf{j}_e) \cdot \mathbf{n} ds = 0$. This is guaranteed, for example, if the velocity vanishes on the boundary $\partial\Omega$ of domain Ω :

$$
v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \tag{7.65}
$$

and if the energy flux j_e vanishes on the boundary:

$$
\boldsymbol{j}_e \bullet \boldsymbol{n}|_{\partial \Omega} = 0. \tag{7.66}
$$

If we consider the model derived in Section 7.5 (compressible fluid) and Section 7.7 (incompressible fluid), then the energy flux is in both cases given by the formula (7.32), that is,

$$
\boldsymbol{j}_e = -\kappa \nabla \theta - \tilde{\mu} \left(\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right),\tag{7.67}
$$

and (7.66) is fulfilled if one fixes

$$
\nabla \theta \bullet \mathbf{n} = 0, \tag{7.68a}
$$

$$
\nabla \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \bullet \mathbf{n} = 0. \tag{7.68b}
$$

This provides an interpretation of the commonly used boundary condition that is necessary if the nonlocal term $\Delta \text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ appears in the governing equations. The natural zero Neumann boundary condition for ∇ Tr $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ means that the system is closed with respect to the energy flux generated by the stress diffusion.

Finally, we see that the choice of the splitting parameters $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$ that was adopted in Section 7.5 (compressible fluid) and Section 7.7 (incompressible fluid) leads to the entropy flux in the form

$$
\dot{\mathbf{j}}_{\eta} = -\frac{\kappa \nabla \theta}{\theta}.
$$
\n(7.69)

This means that the entropy flux takes in this case the standard form, which is in fact the motivation for the corresponding choice of the splitting parameters.

The reader interested in mathematical properties of a simplified isothermal model of the type (7.52) is referred to Bulíček et al. (2017).

8. Derivation of constitutive relations – stress diffusion as a consequence of a nonstandard entropy production mechanism

In this section we derive a model for viscoelastic fluids in which the stress diffusion term is attributed to a *nonstandard* entropy production mechanism. The nonstandard entropy production mechanism is characterised by a gradient (nonlocal) term in the *ansatz* for entropy production, while the *ansatz* for the Helmholtz free energy remains the same as in the classical Maxwell/Oldroyd-B model.

⁵Recall that we consider temperature independent stress diffusion coefficient $\tilde{\mu}$.

8.1. Evolution equation for the entropy. In this case the *ansatz* for the Helmholtz free energy is (B), that is,

$$
\psi =_{\text{def}} \widetilde{\psi}(\theta, \rho) + \frac{\mu}{2\rho} \left(\text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - 3 - \ln \det \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right). \tag{8.1}
$$

This is the standard ansatz that leads, if the entropy production is chosen appropriately, to the Maxwell/Oldroyd-B model, see for example Málek et al. (2015a). In this sense, the fluid stores the energy in the same manner as a Maxwell/Oldroyd-B type fluid. Following the same steps as before, we use (8.1) and derive the evolution equation for the entropy, which reads

$$
\rho \frac{d\eta}{dt} + \text{div}\left(\frac{j_e}{\theta}\right) = \frac{1}{\theta} \left[\left\{ m + p_{\text{th}}^{\text{dM,B}} - \frac{\mu}{3} \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \mu \right\} \text{div}\,\mathbf{v} + \left\{ \mathbb{T}_{\delta} - \mu \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right)_{\delta} \right\} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \left\{ \mu \left(\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I} \right) \right\} : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right] - \frac{j_e \bullet \nabla \theta}{\theta^2}. \tag{8.2a}
$$

The thermodynamic pressure $p_{\text{th}}^{\text{dM,B}}$ is again defined in terms of the Helmholtz free energy (8.1) as

$$
p_{\rm th}^{\rm dM,B} =_{\rm def} p_{\rm th}^{\rm NSE} - \widetilde{\widetilde{\psi}},\tag{8.2b}
$$

where $p_{\text{th}}^{\text{NSE}}$ is defined as in (5.8a) and $\widetilde{\psi}$ is the elastic contribution to the Helmholtz free energy, see (4.3). (Equation (8.2b) in fact equation (7.6) with $\tilde{\mu} = 0$.) The equation has the same form as (7.14a), that is

$$
\rho \frac{\mathrm{d}\eta}{\mathrm{d}t} + \mathrm{div}\left(\frac{j_e}{\theta}\right) = \frac{1}{\theta} \left\{ \left(J_{\mathrm{div}\, \boldsymbol{v}}\right) \mathrm{div}\, \boldsymbol{v} + \mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{D}_{\delta}} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}} : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \boldsymbol{J}_{\nabla\theta} \bullet \frac{\nabla\theta}{\theta} \right\},\tag{8.3a}
$$

where the flux terms $J_{\text{div }v}$, $\mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{D}_{\delta}}$, $\mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}}$ and $J_{\nabla\theta}$ are given by the formulae

J

$$
J_{\text{div}\,\mathbf{v}} =_{\text{def}} m + p_{\text{th}}^{\text{dM,B}} - \frac{\mu}{3} \text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \mu,\tag{8.3b}
$$

$$
\mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{D}_{\delta}} =_{def} \mathbb{T}_{\delta} - \mu \big(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \big)_{\delta},\tag{8.3c}
$$

$$
\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} = \text{def } \mu\left(\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I}\right),\tag{8.3d}
$$

$$
J_{\nabla\theta} =_{\text{def}} j_e. \tag{8.3e}
$$

The task is to exploit (8.3) in the identification of the constitutive relations for the Cauchy stress tensor $\mathbb{T} = m\mathbb{I} + \mathbb{T}_{\delta}$ and the energy flux j_e . In order to do so we rewrite (8.3) in a more convenient form; specifically, we reformulate the product $\mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}}: \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ in (8.3a) as

$$
\mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}}: \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} = \mu\left(\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I}\right) : \mathbb{D}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} = -\frac{\mu}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\overline{\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\left(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-1}\right)}\right],\tag{8.4}
$$

which is a consequence of the identity (3.5) and the definitions $\mathbb{C}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} =_{\text{def}} \mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{\top} \mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} =_{\text{def}} \mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{\top} \mathbb{F}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$. This manipulation yields (8.3a) in the form

$$
\rho \frac{d\eta}{dt} + \text{div}\left(\frac{j_e}{\theta}\right) = \frac{1}{\theta} \left\{ \left[m + p_{\text{th}}^{\text{dM,B}} - \frac{\mu}{3} \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \mu \right] \text{div}\,\mathbf{v} + \left[\mathbb{T}_{\delta} - \mu \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right)_{\delta} \right] : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} - \frac{\mu}{2\nu_1} \text{Tr} \left[\nu_1 \frac{\nabla}{\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}} \left(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-1} \right) \right] - \frac{j_e \bullet \nabla \theta}{\theta} \right\}. (8.5)
$$

8.2. Entropy production and constitutive relations. Now we are in a position to specify how the fluid produces the entropy. In other words we need to fix a formula for the entropy production ξ . This is the point where we deviate from the approach that would lead to the standard Maxwell/Oldroyd-B fluid flow model: we shall use a different entropy production $\widetilde{\xi}$ than the one that is known to lead to a standard Maxwell/Oldroyd-B fluid. We fix

$$
\widetilde{\xi} = \frac{1}{\theta} \left\{ \frac{2\nu + 3\lambda}{3} \left(\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} \right)^2 + 2\nu \mathbb{D}_{\delta} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \frac{\mu^2}{2\nu_1} \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-1} - 6 \right) + \frac{\mu \tilde{\mu}(\theta)}{2\nu_1} \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \frac{\kappa |\nabla \theta|^2}{\theta} \right\},\tag{8.6}
$$

where we have used the notation

$$
\nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \colon \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} = \det \frac{\partial \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}_{ij}}{\partial x_m} \frac{\partial \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}_{ij}}{\partial x_m}.
$$
\n(8.7)

(Note that the additional term $\nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$: $\nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ is nonnegative.) The material parameter $\tilde{\mu}$ that will be later identified as a stress diffusion coefficient can be a nonnegative function of the temperature. The other material parameters ν , ν_1 , $2\nu + 3\lambda$ and κ are, for the sake of simplicity, assumed to be nonnegative constants.

The *ansatz* is motivated by the fact that we want to model a fluid that behaves almost as a Maxwell/Oldroyd-B fluid. This is guaranteed by the presence of the first three terms and the last term in (8.7). See in particular (7.23) and (7.24) for the reformulation of the entropy production *ansatz* (7.15) in a way that motivates (8.6) . The penultimate term in (8.6) , that is

$$
\frac{\mu\tilde{\mu}(\theta)}{2\nu_1} \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \colon \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}},\tag{8.8}
$$

is, as we shall see later, the entropy production term due to stress diffusion. The entropy production is clearly nonnegative. The desired entropy evolution equation is

$$
\rho \frac{\mathrm{d}\eta}{\mathrm{d}t} + \mathrm{div} \, \mathbf{j}_{\eta} = \widetilde{\xi},\tag{8.9}
$$

where the entropy production ξ is given by (8.6). The desired entropy evolution equation/entropy production must be compared with the entropy evolution equation/entropy production specified in (8.5) . Let us now manipulate (8.9) with ξ given by (8.6) into the form comparable to (8.5) .

In rewriting of (8.9) we use several identities. The first of them is

$$
\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} \left(\tilde{\mu} \frac{\partial B_{\kappa_{p(t)}}}{\partial x_m} \right) B_{\kappa_{p(t)} j l} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} \left(\tilde{\mu} \frac{\partial B_{\kappa_{p(t)}}}{\partial x_m} \right) B_{\kappa_{p(t)} j i} \right] \left(\delta_{li} - \left(B_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-1} \right)_{li} \right)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} \left(\tilde{\mu} \frac{\partial B_{\kappa_{p(t)}}}{\partial x_m} \right) \left(B_{\kappa_{p(t)} j i} - \delta_{ji} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} \left(\tilde{\mu} \frac{\partial B_{\kappa_{p(t)}}}{\partial x_m} \right) \left(B_{\kappa_{p(t)} j i} - \delta_{ji} \right) \right]
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} \left[\tilde{\mu} \left(\frac{\partial B_{\kappa_{p(t)}}}{\partial x_m} \left(B_{\kappa_{p(t)} j i} - \delta_{ji} \right) + \frac{\partial B_{\kappa_{p(t)}}}{\partial x_m} \left(B_{\kappa_{p(t)} j i} - \delta_{ji} \right) \right) \right] - \frac{\tilde{\mu}}{2} \left[\frac{\partial B_{\kappa_{p(t)}} j i}{\partial x_m} \frac{\partial B_{\kappa_{p(t)}}}{\partial x_m} + \frac{\partial B_{\kappa_{p(t)}} j i}{\partial x_m} \frac{\partial B_{\kappa_{p(t)}}}{\partial x_m} \right], \quad (8.10a)
$$

which can be symbolically written as

$$
\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \left(\operatorname{div} \left(\tilde{\mu} \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \operatorname{div} \left(\tilde{\mu} \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right) \left(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-1} \right) \right\}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} \left\{ \tilde{\mu} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\left(\nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I} \right) + \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I} \right) \left(\nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right] \right\} - \tilde{\mu} \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \cdot \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}. \quad (8.10b)
$$

(We have again exploited the symmetry of $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$.) Further, the term $\text{Tr } \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{\mathbb{L}}$ + $\text{Tr } \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{\mathbb{L}}$ – 6 can be rewritten as

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \operatorname{Tr}\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-1} - 6 = \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I}\right)\left(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-1}\right)\right].\tag{8.11}
$$

Using (8.10b) and (8.11) in (8.9) with $\tilde{\xi}$ specified via (8.6) yields after some manipulation

$$
\rho \frac{d\eta}{dt} + \text{div}\left\{\mathbf{j}_{\eta} - \frac{\frac{\mu\tilde{\mu}}{4\nu_{1}} \text{Tr}\left[\left(\nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right)\left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I}\right) + \tilde{\mu}\left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I}\right)\left(\nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right)\right]\right\}}{\theta}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{\theta} \left\{\frac{2\nu + 3\lambda}{3} \left(\text{div}\,\mathbf{v}\right)^{2} + 2\nu \mathbb{D}_{\delta} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \frac{\mu}{2\nu_{1}} \text{Tr}\left[\left(\mu \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\left(\text{div}\left(\tilde{\mu}\nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right)\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\text{div}\left(\tilde{\mu}\nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right)\right)\right]\left(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-1}\right)\right\}}{\left(\kappa \nabla \theta + \frac{\mu\tilde{\mu}}{4\nu_{1}} \text{Tr}\left[\left(\nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right)\left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I}\right) + \tilde{\mu}\left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I}\right)\left(\nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}\right)\right]\right\} \cdot \nabla \theta}{\theta^{2}}.
$$
\n(8.12)

The expression for the entropy evolution (8.12) that follows from the ansatz for the entropy production can now be compared with the entropy evolution (8.5) implied by the chosen ansatz for the Helmholtz free energy and the underlying kinematics. Clearly, the two equations will coincide if we set

$$
m + p_{\text{th}}^{\text{dM,B}} - \frac{\mu}{3} \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \mu = \frac{2\nu + 3\lambda}{3} \text{div } \boldsymbol{v},\tag{8.13a}
$$

$$
\mathbb{T}_{\delta} - \mu \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right)_{\delta} = 2\nu \mathbb{D}_{\delta},\tag{8.13b}
$$

$$
-\nu_1 \overline{\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}} = \mu \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left[\operatorname{div} \left(\tilde{\mu} \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \operatorname{div} \left(\tilde{\mu} \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right],\tag{8.13c}
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{j}_{e} = -\kappa \nabla \theta - \frac{\mu \tilde{\mu}}{4\nu_{1}} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\left(\nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I} \right) + \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I} \right) \left(\nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right], \tag{8.13d}
$$

$$
\dot{\mathbf{j}}_{\eta} = -\frac{\kappa \nabla \theta}{\theta}.\tag{8.13e}
$$

These are the sought constitutive relations for the Cauchy stress tensor $\mathbb{T} = m\mathbb{I} + \mathbb{T}_{\delta}$, the energy flux j_e and the entropy flux $j_\eta.$

8.3. Evolution equation for the temperature. Having identified the entropy flux j_{η} we are ready to formulate the governing equation for the temperature. Following the same steps as in Section 7.4 we see that the Helmholtz free energy ansatz (8.1) and the entropy production ansatz (8.6) imply that the evolution equation for the temperature θ reads

$$
\rho c_V^{\text{NSE}} \frac{d\theta}{dt} + \theta \frac{\partial p_{\text{th}}^{\text{dM,B}}}{\partial \theta} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} = \operatorname{div} (\kappa \nabla \theta) + \frac{2\nu + 3\lambda}{3} (\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v})^2 + 2\nu \mathbb{D}_{\delta} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \frac{\mu^2}{2\nu_1} \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-1} - 6 \right) + \frac{\mu \tilde{\mu}(\theta)}{2\nu_1} \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}, \quad (8.14)
$$

where the specific heat at constant volume c_V^{NSE} is obtained via differentiation of the Helmholtz free energy, see (7.27).

This equation can be further rewritten as follows. Using the constitutive relations for the Cauchy stress tensor $\mathbb{T} = m\mathbb{I} + \mathbb{T}_{\delta}$, where the mean normal stress m and the traceless part \mathbb{T}_δ are given by $(8.13a)$ and $(8.13b)$, we see that \mathbb{T} can be decomposed as

$$
\mathbb{T} = -p_{\text{eq}}\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}_{\text{eq}} + \mathbb{T}_{\text{vis}},\tag{8.15}
$$

 $\mathbb{P}_{\text{eq}} =_{\text{def}} -\mu \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right)_{\delta}$ $(8.16a)$

$$
p_{\text{eq}} =_{\text{def}} p_{\text{th}}^{\text{dM,B}} - \frac{\mu}{3} \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \mu,\tag{8.16b}
$$

$$
\mathbb{T}_{\text{vis}} =_{\text{def}} \lambda \left(\text{div} \, \boldsymbol{v} \right) \mathbb{I} + 2\nu \mathbb{D}. \tag{8.16c}
$$

Using this notation, the evolution equation for the temperature can be rewritten as

$$
\rho c_{\rm V}^{\rm NSE} \frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathbb{T}_{\rm vis} : \mathbb{D} - \theta \frac{\partial p_{\rm eq}}{\partial \theta} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} + \operatorname{div} (\kappa \nabla \theta) + \frac{\mu^2}{2\nu_1} \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-1} - 6 \right) + \frac{\mu \tilde{\mu}(\theta)}{2\nu_1} \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}},
$$
(8.17)

which resembles the standard formula for a compressible Navier–Stokes–Fourier fluid (7.37), and allows one to identify the additional terms due to viscoelasticity and stress diffusion.

8.4. Full system of governing equations for primitive variables – compressible fluid. The Helmholtz free energy ansatz (8.1) and entropy production ansatz (8.6) then lead to following governing equations for v , ρ and $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho}{\mathrm{d}t} + \rho \,\mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{v} = 0,\tag{8.18a}
$$

$$
\rho \frac{\mathrm{d}v}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathrm{div} \, \mathbb{T} + \rho \mathbf{b},\tag{8.18b}
$$

$$
\nu_1 \overline{\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}} + \mu \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\operatorname{div} \left(\tilde{\mu} \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \operatorname{div} \left(\tilde{\mu} \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right]
$$
(8.18c)

and the temperature θ :

$$
\rho c_V^{\text{NSE}} \frac{d\theta}{dt} + \theta \frac{\partial p_{\text{th}}^{\text{dM,B}}}{\partial \theta} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} = \operatorname{div} (\kappa \nabla \theta) + \frac{2\nu + 3\lambda}{3} \left(\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} \right)^2 + 2\nu \mathbb{D}_{\delta} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \frac{\mu^2}{2\nu_1} \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-1} - 6 \right) + \frac{\mu \tilde{\mu}(\theta)}{2\nu_1} \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}, \quad (8.18d)
$$

where the constitutive relation for the Cauchy stress tensor **T** reads

$$
\mathbb{T} = -p_{\text{th}}^{\text{dM,B}} \mathbb{I} + \lambda \left(\text{div} \, \boldsymbol{v} \right) \mathbb{I} + 2\nu \mathbb{D} + \mu \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I} \right). \tag{8.18e}
$$

The specific heat at constant volume c_V and the thermodynamic pressure $p_{th}^{dM,B}$ are calculated from the Helmholtz free energy ansatz via formula (7.27) and (8.2b) respectively. The final full system of governing equations is shown in Summary 3.

8.5. Incompressible fluid. As in the previous case, it is again possible to develop an incompressible variant of the model discussed in Section 3. The counterpart of the Helmholtz free energy *ansatz* (8.1) reads

$$
\psi =_{\text{def}} \widetilde{\psi}(\theta) + \frac{\mu}{2\rho} \left(\text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - 3 - \ln \det \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right),\tag{8.26}
$$

where ρ is a constant. The entropy evolution equation remains almost the same as in (8.3a) except for the term $(J_{\text{div }v})$ div v that vanishes by virtue of the incompressibility of the fluid. The counterpart of the entropy production ansatz (8.6) is in the incompressible case

$$
\widetilde{\xi} = \frac{1}{\theta} \left(2\nu \mathbb{D}_{\delta} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \frac{\mu^2}{2\nu_1} \left(\text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-1} - 6 \right) + \frac{\mu \widetilde{\mu}(\theta)}{2\nu_1} \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \frac{\kappa |\nabla \theta|^2}{\theta} \right). \tag{8.27}
$$

(Note that for an incompressible fluid one has $\mathbb{D}_{\delta} = \mathbb{D}$.)

8.6. Full system of governing equations for primitive variables – incompressible fluid. The Helmholtz free energy ansatz (8.26) and entropy production ansatz (8.27) then lead to the following governing equations for $v, m, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ and θ :

$$
\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} = 0,\tag{8.28a}
$$

$$
\rho \frac{\mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{v}}{\mathrm{d} t} = \mathrm{div} \, \mathbb{T} + \rho \boldsymbol{b},\tag{8.28b}
$$

$$
\nu_1 \overline{\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}} + \mu \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\operatorname{div} \left(\tilde{\mu} \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \operatorname{div} \left(\tilde{\mu} \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right],\tag{8.28c}
$$

$$
\rho c_V^{\text{NSE}} \frac{d\theta}{dt} = \text{div}\left(\kappa \nabla \theta\right) + 2\nu \mathbb{D}_{\delta} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \frac{\mu^2}{2\nu_1} \left(\text{Tr}\,\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \text{Tr}\,\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-1} - 6 \right) + \frac{\mu \tilde{\mu}(\theta)}{2\nu_1} \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}.
$$
\n(8.28d)

(Recall that in the incompressible case one has $\mathbb{D}_{\delta} = \mathbb{D}$.) The constitutive relation for the Cauchy stress tensor \mathbb{T} reads $\mathbb{T} = m\mathbb{I} + 2\nu\mathbb{D} + \mu(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}})_{\delta}$, which can be rewritten as

$$
\mathbb{T} = \phi \mathbb{I} + 2\nu \mathbb{D} + \mu \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}},\tag{8.28e}
$$

where ϕ denotes the spherical stress, $\phi =_{def} m + \frac{\mu}{3} \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$. The mean normal stress $m/\text{spherical stress } \phi$ is in the incompressible case a *primitive quantity that must be solved for*; it is not given by a constitutive relation. The specific heat at constant volume c_V is again calculated from the Helmholtz free energy *ansatz* via the formula (7.27). The final full system of governing equations is shown in Summary 4.

Helmholtz free energy ψ , ansatz (8.1):

$$
\psi = \widetilde{\psi}(\theta, \rho) + \frac{1}{\rho} \widetilde{\widetilde{\psi}},\tag{8.19a}
$$

$$
\widetilde{\widetilde{\psi}} = \frac{\mu}{2} \left(\text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - 3 - \ln \det \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right). \tag{8.19b}
$$

Entropy production ξ , ansatz (8.6), see (8.10) for notation:

$$
\widetilde{\xi} = \frac{1}{\theta} \left(\frac{2\nu + 3\lambda}{3} \left(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \right)^2 + 2\nu \mathbb{D}_{\delta} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \frac{\mu^2}{2\nu_1} \left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-1} - 6 \right) + \frac{\mu \tilde{\mu}(\theta)}{2\nu_1} \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \frac{\kappa |\nabla \theta|^2}{\theta} \right). \tag{8.20}
$$

Material parameters (constants): μ , ν , λ , ν ₁, κ . Material parameters (temperature-dependent): $\tilde{\mu}$. Evolution equations for ρ , \mathbf{v} , $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$:

> ${\rm d}\rho$ $\frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} + \rho \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0$ $(8.21a)$

$$
\rho \frac{\mathrm{d}v}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathrm{div} \, \mathbb{T} + \rho \mathbf{b},\tag{8.21b}
$$

$$
\nu_1 \overline{\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}} + \mu \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\operatorname{div} \left(\tilde{\mu} \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \operatorname{div} \left(\tilde{\mu} \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right]. \tag{8.21c}
$$

Evolution equation for θ :

Summary 3: Compressalile viscoelastic rate-type fluid with stress diffusion and temperature-dependent stress diffusion coefficient, *ij*, stress diffusion therefore less an entropy producing mechanism
\nHelmilode free energy *ψ*, *massi* (8.1):
\n
$$
\overline{\psi} = \frac{v}{\psi} (\overline{p}, \mu) + \frac{1}{\phi^2},
$$
\n
$$
\overline{\psi} = \frac{v}{2} (\overline{1} \mathbf{E}_{\overline{p},\mu,0} - 3 - \text{Indel } \mathbf{E}_{\overline{p},\mu,0})
$$
\n(8.19a)
\nEatropy production *ξ*, *massi* (8.6), sec (8.10) for maximum:
\n
$$
\overline{\xi} = \frac{1}{\theta} (\frac{2\nu + 3\lambda}{3} (\text{div } v)^2 + 2\nu \mathbf{D}_\theta \cdot \mathbf{1} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\overline{p}}^T (\text{Tr} \mathbf{E}_{\overline{p},\mu,0} - \text{Tr} \mathbf{E}_{\overline{p},\mu,0}^T - 0) + \frac{\mu \hat{\mu}(0)}{2\nu_1} \nabla \mathbf{E}_{\overline{p},\mu,0} + \frac{\mu |\nabla(\overline{p}|^2)}{\theta})
$$
\n(8.20)
\nMaterial parameters (comstants): $\mu, \nu, \lambda, \nu_1, \kappa$.
\nEvidution equation for *p*, $\mu, \nu, \lambda, \nu_1, \kappa$.
\nEvidution equation for *p*, μ, ν, μ_0, ν_1 .\n
$$
\frac{dv}{dt} + \rho (\text{div } v) = 0,
$$
\n(8.21b)
\n**Newton equation for *p*.**
\n
$$
\rho_{\text{c}}^{\text{NS}} = \frac{d\mu}{dt} \rho \frac{\partial \rho_{\text{c}}^{\text{NS}}}{\partial \rho} \text{div } v = \text{div } (\kappa \nabla \theta) + \frac{2\nu + 3\lambda}{3} (\text{div } v)^2 + 2\nu \mathbf{D}_\theta \cdot \mathbf{1}_\theta
$$
\n(8.21c)
\n**1**
\n**1**
\n**1**

Constitutive relation for the Cauchy stress tensor **T** :

$$
\mathbb{T} = m\mathbb{I} + \mathbb{T}_{\delta}.\tag{8.22}
$$

Definitions of m and \mathbb{T}_{δ} :

$$
\mathbb{T}_{\delta} = 2\nu \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \mu \big(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \big)_{\delta},\tag{8.23a}
$$

$$
m = -p_{\text{th}}^{\text{dM,B}} + \frac{\mu}{3} \text{Tr} \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mu + \frac{2\nu + 3\lambda}{3} \text{div } \boldsymbol{v}.
$$
 (8.23b)

Quantities derived from the Helmholtz free energy:

$$
p_{\rm th}^{\rm NSE} = \rho^2 \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}}{\partial \rho},\tag{8.23c}
$$

$$
p_{\rm th}^{\rm dM, B} = p_{\rm th}^{\rm NSE} - \widetilde{\widetilde{\psi}},\tag{8.23d}
$$

$$
c_{\rm V}^{\rm NSE} = -\theta \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{\psi}}{\partial \theta^2}.
$$
 (8.23e)

Constitutive relation for the energy flux j_e , has been already used in (8.21d):

$$
\boldsymbol{j}_{e} = -\kappa \nabla \theta - \frac{\mu \tilde{\mu}}{4\nu_{1}} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\left(\nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I} \right) + \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I} \right) \left(\nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right]. \tag{8.24}
$$

Constitutive relation for the entropy flux j_{η} :

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{j}}_{\eta} = -\frac{\kappa \nabla \theta}{\theta}.
$$
\n(8.25)

8.7. Remarks. Let us now focus on the model introduced in Section 4 (incompressible fluid, Maxwell/Oldroyd-B, where stress diffusion is a consequence of a non-standard entropy production mechanism). A few remarks are in order.

First, if we consider $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ in the form $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} = \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{b}$, and if we assume that \mathbb{b} is a small quantity, then the right-hand side of (8.28c) can be approximated as

$$
\frac{1}{2} \left[\operatorname{div} \left(\tilde{\mu} \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \operatorname{div} \left(\tilde{\mu} \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right] \approx \operatorname{div} \left(\tilde{\mu} \nabla \mathbb{b} \right). \tag{8.37}
$$

In this regime, we therefore obtain the stress diffusion term as the Laplace operator acting on the extra stress tensor **b** .

Summary 4: Incompressible viscoelastic rate-type fluid with stress diffusion and temperaturedependent stress diffusion coefficient $\tilde{\mu}$; stress diffusion interpreted as an entropy producing mechanism

Helmholtz free energy ψ , incompressible variant of *ansatz* (8.1) , see (8.26) :

$$
\psi = \widetilde{\psi}(\theta) + \frac{1}{\rho}\widetilde{\widetilde{\psi}},\tag{8.29a}
$$

$$
\widetilde{\widetilde{\psi}} = \frac{\mu}{2} \left(\text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - 3 - \ln \det \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right). \tag{8.29b}
$$

Entropy production ξ , incompressible variant of ansatz (8.27), see (8.26), notation explained in (8.10):

$$
\widetilde{\xi} = \frac{1}{\theta} \left(2\nu \mathbb{D}_{\delta} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \frac{\mu^2}{2\nu_1} \left(\text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \text{Tr} \, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-1} - 6 \right) + \frac{\mu \widetilde{\mu}(\theta)}{2\nu_1} \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \frac{\kappa |\nabla \theta|^2}{\theta} \right). \tag{8.30}
$$

Material parameters (constants): μ , ν , λ , ν ₁, κ . Material parameters (temperature-dependent): $\tilde{\mu}$. Evolution equations for m , \boldsymbol{v} , $\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}$ and θ :

$$
\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} = 0,\tag{8.31a}
$$

$$
\rho \frac{\mathrm{d}v}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathrm{div} \, \mathbb{T} + \rho \mathbf{b},\tag{8.31b}
$$

$$
\nu_1 \overline{\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}} + \mu \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\text{div} \left(\tilde{\mu} \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \text{div} \left(\tilde{\mu} \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right], \tag{8.31c}
$$

$$
\rho c_V^{\text{NSE}} \frac{d\theta}{dt} = \text{div}\left(\kappa \nabla \theta\right) + 2\nu \mathbb{D}_{\delta} : \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \frac{\mu^2}{2\nu_1} \left(\text{Tr}\, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} + \text{Tr}\, \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}^{-1} - 6 \right) + \frac{\mu \tilde{\mu}(\theta)}{2\nu_1} \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} : \nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}}. \tag{8.31d}
$$

Constitutive relation for the Cauchy stress tensor **T** :

$$
\mathbb{T} = m\mathbb{I} + \mathbb{T}_{\delta}.\tag{8.32}
$$

Definitions of \mathbb{T}_{δ} :

$$
\mathbb{T}_{\delta} = 2\nu \mathbb{D}_{\delta} + \mu \big(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \big)_{\delta}.
$$
\n(8.33)

Quantities derived from the Helmholtz free energy:

$$
c_{\rm V}^{\rm NSE} = -\theta \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{\psi}}{\partial \theta^2}.
$$
\n(8.34)

Constitutive relation for the energy flux j_e , has been already used in (8.31d):

$$
\boldsymbol{j}_{e} = -\kappa \nabla \theta - \frac{\mu \tilde{\mu}}{4\nu_{1}} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\left(\nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I} \right) + \left(\mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} - \mathbb{I} \right) \left(\nabla \mathbb{B}_{\kappa_{p(t)}} \right) \right]. \tag{8.35}
$$

Constitutive relation for the entropy flux j_{η} :

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{j}}_{\eta} = -\frac{\kappa \nabla \theta}{\theta}.
$$
\n(8.36)

Second, we have obtained explicit formulae for the entropy flux j_{η} and energy flux j_e . This means, that we can easily identify the boundary conditions that make the system of interest isolated with respect to the energy and entropy exchange via the boundary.

9. CONCLUSION

We have derived thermodynamically consistent models for compressible/incompressible Maxwell/Oldroyd-B type fluids with a stress diffusion term. Following Rajagopal and Srinivasa (2004), see also Málek and Průša (2017), we have shown that the governing equations for all primitive variables can be derived via the specification of two scalar quantities, namely the Helmholtz free energy and the entropy production. In particular, we have identified the corresponding temperature evolution equation that must supplement the governing equations for mechanical variables, and that must be used if one is interested in thermomechanical coupling.

The stress diffusion term has been interpreted as a symptom of either a nonstandard energy storage mechanism or a nonstandard entropy production mechanism. In both cases the ansatz for the Helmholtz free energy and the ansatz for the entropy production respectively included a gradient (nonlocal) term, which subsequently resulted in a stress diffusion term in the evolution equation for the extra stress tensor.

If the stress diffusion is interpreted as a consequence of a nonstandard energy storage mechanism, then the resulting governing equations include, besides the stress diffusion term, other additional terms. In particular the Cauchy stress tensor contains Korteweg type terms. On the other hand, if the stress diffusion is interpreted as a consequence of a nonstandard entropy production mechanism, then the stress diffusion term is the only additional term in the evolution equations for the mechanical quantities (compared to the standard Maxwell/Oldroyd-B model). The combination of the two approaches, and

more elaborate choices of the *ansatz* for the Helmholtz free energy or the entropy production, can be further exploited in the development of more complex models that go beyond Maxwell/Oldroyd-B type models.

The key thermodynamical relations, including the entropic equation of state, has been identified as a byproduct of the derivation of the governing equations. This means that the complete arsenal of generic thermodynamics-based methods for the investigation of the dynamics of non-equilibrium systems, see for example Glansdorff and Prigogine (1971) and subsequent works, is unlocked for possible future applications. In particular, such thermodynamics-based methods may provide an interesting insight into the stability of viscoelastic fluids of interest.

REFERENCES

- Barrett, J. W. and S. Boyaval (2011). Existence and approximation of a (regularized) Oldroyd-B model. Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. 21 (9), 1783–1837.
- Bhave, A. V., R. C. Armstrong, and R. A. Brown (1991). Kinetic theory and rheology of dilute, nonhomogeneous polymer solutions. J. Chem. Phys. 95 (4), 2988–3000.
- Borino, G. and C. Polizzotto (2014). A method to transform a nonlocal model into a gradient one within elasticity and plasticity. Eur. J. Mech. - $A/Solids$ 46, 30–41.
- Bulíček, M., J. Málek, V. Průša, and E. Süli (2017). A PDE-analysis for a class of thermodynamically compatible viscoelastic rate type fluids with stress diffusion. Contemporary Mathematics. Submitted.
- Callen, H. B. (1985). Thermodynamics and an introduction to thermostatistics (Revised ed.). John Wiley & Sons.
- Cates, M. E. and S. M. Fielding (2006). Rheology of giant micelles. Adv. Phys. 55 (7-8), 799–879.
- Chupin, L. and S. Martin (2015). Stationary Oldroyd model with diffusive stress: Mathematical analysis of the model and vanishing diffusion process. J. Non-Newton. Fluid Mech. 218, 27–39.
- Dhont, J. K. G. and W. J. Briels (2008). Gradient and vorticity banding. Rheol. Acta 47(3), 257–281.
- Divoux, T., M. A. Fardin, S. Manneville, and S. Lerouge (2016). Shear banding of complex fluids. Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. $48(1)$, 81-103.
- Dressler, M., B. J. Edwards, and H. C. Ottinger (1999). Macroscopic thermodynamics of flowing polymeric liquids. *Rheol.* Acta $38(2)$, 117-136.
- El-Kareh, A. W. and L. G. Leal (1989). Existence of solutions for all Deborah numbers for a non-Newtonian model modified to include diffusion. J. Non-Newton. Fluid Mech. 33 (3), 257–287.
- Eringen, A. C. (2002). Nonlocal continuum field theories. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Fardin, M. A., T. J. Ober, C. Gay, G. Gregoire, G. H. McKinley, and S. Lerouge (2012). Potential "ways of thinking" about the shear-banding phenomenon. Soft Matter 8, 910–922.
- Fardin, M.-A., O. Radulescu, A. Morozov, O. Cardoso, J. Browaeys, and S. Lerouge (2015). Stress diffusion in shear banding wormlike micelles. J. Rheol. $59(6)$, 1335–1362.
- Fielding, S. M. (2007). Complex dynamics of shear banded flows. Soft Matter 3, 1262–1279.
- Fried, E. and M. E. Gurtin (2006). Tractions, balances, and boundary conditions for nonsimple materials with application to liquid flow at small-length scales. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. $182(3)$, 513–554.
- Glansdorff, P. and I. Prigogine (1971). Thermodynamic theory of structure, stability and fluctuations. London: Wiley-Interscience.
- Grmela, M. and P. Carreau (1987). Conformation tensor rheological models. J. Non-Newton. Fluid Mech. 23 (C), 271–294.
- Gurtin, M. E., E. Fried, and L. Anand (2010). The mechanics and thermodynamics of continua. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Heida, M. and J. Málek (2010). On compressible Korteweg fluid-like materials. Int. J. Eng. Sci. 48(11), 1313–1324.
- Hron, J., V. Miloš, V. Průša, O. Souček, and K. Tůma (2016). On thermodynamics of viscoelastic rate type fluids with temperature dependent material coefficients. ArXiv e-prints.
- Javili, A., F. dell'Isola, and P. Steinmann (2013). Geometrically nonlinear higher-gradient elasticity with energetic boundaries. J. Mech. Phys. Solids 61 (12), 2381–2401.
- Johnson, M. W. and D. Segalman (1977). A model for viscoelastic fluid behavior which allows non-affine deformation. J. Non-Newton. Fluid Mech. 2 (3), 255–270.
- Kannan, K. and K. R. Rajagopal (2004). A thermomechanical framework for the transition of a viscoelastic liquid to a viscoelastic solid. *Math. Mech. Solids* $9(1)$, 37–59.
- Kannan, K., I. J. Rao, and K. R. Rajagopal (2002). A thermomechanical framework for the glass transition phenomenon in certain polymers and its application to fiber spinning. J. Rheol. 46 (4), 977–999.
- Krishnan, J. M. and K. R. Rajagopal (2004). Thermodynamic framework for the constitutive modeling of asphalt concrete: Theory and applications. J. Mater. Civ. Eng. $16(2)$, 155–166.
- Leonov, A. I. (1976). Nonequilibrium thermodynamics and rheology of viscoelastic polymer media. Rheol. Acta $15(2)$, 85–98.
- Lu, C.-Y. D., P. D. Olmsted, and R. C. Ball (2000, Jan). Effects of nonlocal stress on the determination of shear banding flow. Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 642–645.
- Málek, J. and V. Průša (2017). Derivation of equations for continuum mechanics and thermodynamics of fluids. In Y. Giga and A. Novotný (Eds.), Handbook of Mathematical Analysis in Mechanics of Viscous Fluids, pp. 1–70. Springer.
- Málek, J., K. R. Rajagopal, and K. Tůma (2015a). On a variant of the Maxwell and Oldroyd-B models within the context of a thermodynamic basis. Int. J. Non-Linear Mech. 76, 42–47.
- Málek, J., K. R. Rajagopal, and K. Tůma (2015b). A thermodynamically compatible model for describing the response of asphalt binders. Int. J. Pavement Eng. $16(4)$, 297-314.
- Mattos, H. S. C. (1998). A thermodynamically consistent constitutive theory for fluids. Int. J. Non-Linear Mech. 33(1), 97–110.
- Mohammadigoushki, H. and S. J. Muller (2016). A flow visualization and superposition rheology study of shear-banding wormlike micelle solutions. Soft Matter $12(4)$, 1051–1061.
- Oldroyd, J. G. (1950). On the formulation of rheological equations of state. Proc. R. Soc. A-Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 200 (1063), 523–541.
- Olmsted, P. D. (2008). Perspectives on shear banding in complex fluids. *Rheol. Acta* $47(3)$, 283–300.
- Olmsted, P. D., O. Radulescu, and C.-Y. D. Lu (2000). Johnson–Segalman model with a diffusion term in cylindrical Couette flow. *J. Rheol.* $44(2)$, $257-275$.
- Polizzotto, C. (2012). A gradient elasticity theory for second-grade materials and higher order inertia. Int. J. Solids $Struct. 49(15–16), 2121–2137.$
- Průša, V. and K. R. Rajagopal (2013). On models for viscoelastic materials that are mechanically incompressible and thermally compressible or expansible and their Oberbeck–Boussinesq type approximations. Math. Models Meth. Appl. Sci. 23 (10) , 1761–1794.
- Rajagopal, K. R. and A. R. Srinivasa (2000). A thermodynamic frame work for rate type fluid models. J. Non-Newton. Fluid Mech. 88 (3), 207–227.
- Rajagopal, K. R. and A. R. Srinivasa (2004). On thermomechanical restrictions of continua. Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. A, Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 460(2042), 631-651.
- Rajagopal, K. R. and A. R. Srinivasa (2008). On the thermodynamics of fluids defined by implicit constitutive relations. Z. angew. Math. Phys. $59(4)$, 715–729.
- Rao, I. J. and K. R. Rajagopal (2002). A thermodynamic framework for the study of crystallization in polymers. Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 53 (3), 365–406.
- Subbotin, A. V., A. Y. Malkin, and V. G. Kulichikhin (2011). Self-organization in the flow of complex fluids (colloid and polymer systems). Part 2: Theoretical models. Adv. Colloid Interface Sci. 162(1-2), 29-38.
- Šilhavý, M. (2016). Higher gradient expansion for linear isotropic peridynamic materials. Math. Mech. Solids. In press.
- Wapperom, P. and M. A. Hulsen (1998). Thermodynamics of viscoelastic fluids: The temperature equation. J. Rheol. 42(5), 999–1019.
- Wineman, A. S. and K. R. Rajagopal (2000). *Mechanical response of polymers—an introduction*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Ziegler, H. and C. Wehrli (1987). The derivation of constitutive relations from the free energy and the dissipation function. Adv. Appl. Mech. 25, 183–238.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, CHARLES UNIVERSITY IN PRAGUE, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, PRAHA 8 – KARLÍN, CZ 186 75, CZECH REPUBLIC E-mail address : malek@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University in Prague, Sokolovská 83, Praha 8 – Karlín, CZ 186 75, Czech Republic E-mail address : prusv@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, CHARLES UNIVERSITY IN PRAGUE, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, PRAHA 8 – KARLÍN, CZ 186 75, CZECH REPUBLIC E-mail address : skrivantomas@seznam.cz

Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Radcliffe Observatory Quarter, Woodstock Road, Oxford OX2 6GG, United **KINGDOM**

E-mail address : suli@maths.ox.ac.uk

Preprint: MORE/2017/14